M.M.P.U.C. Case No. 14-239
Exhibit No. Witness CRONIN

DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSNH's Generation Assets - Technical Session Deposition

Richard Hahn and Daniel Koehler - La Capra Associates October 26, 2015

> SUSAN J. ROBIDAS, N.H. LCR (603) 622-0068 shortrptr@comcast.net (603) 540-2083 (cell)



Original File 102615 DE14238 Depo Tech Session.txt Win-U-Script@ with Word Index

	DE 14-230 I BIVIT. Determination regarding FSIVAT	3 0	eneration results rectifical Session Deposition
1	Page 1		Page
2	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	1 2	I N D E X WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN AND DANIEL KOEHLER
3		3	WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN AND DANIEL KOEHLER
4	October 26, 2015 - 9:04 a.m.	4	EXAMINATION PAGE
5	Concord, New Hampshire	5	By Mr. Sheehan 18, 13
6	RE:DE 14-238 PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE: Determination Regarding	6	By Ms. Ross By Mr. Bersak 64
7	Technical Session/Deposition of the Canas	7	By Ms. Chamberlin 74 By Mr. Aslin 81 By Mr. Aalto 91
8	Associates Witnesses: Richard S. Hahn and Daniel Koehler)	8	By Mr. Aalto 91 By Ms. Frignoca 94 By Mr. Cunningham 100
9		9	By Mr. Allegretti 110 By Mr. Maher 111
10	PRESENT: Alexander F. Speidel, Presiding	10	-
12	(Reptg. Non-Advocate Staff) APPEARANCES:	11	DISCUSSION RE: EXHIBITS 77-78
13	Reptg. Public Service Co. of New	12	EXHIBITS LABELED AS FOLLOWS:
14	Hampshire, d/b/a Eversource Energy: Robert A. Bersak, Esq.	14	CONFIDENTIAL
15	Matthew J. Fossum. Esq.	15	1 2014 La Capra Report (Unredacted) 2 2015 La Capra Update (Unredacted) 3 Northeast Market Model
16	Reptg. the City of Berlin and the Town of Gorham:	16	3 Northeast Market Model
17	Eric Maher, Esq. (Donahue, Tucker)	17	PUBLIC
18	Reptg. the Office of Energy & Planning: Christopher G. Aslin, Esq., (NH DOJ)	18	1 2014 La Capra Report (Redacted)
19	Meredith A. Hatfield, Director	19	2 2015 La Capra Update (Unredacted) 3 2014 Staff Report
20	Reptg. New England Power Generators Assn: Carol Holahan, Esq.	20	
21	James Monahan	21	
22	COURT REPORTER: SUSAN J. ROBIDAS, N.H. LCR NO. 44	22	
23		23	
24		24	
	P 0		
1	Page 2 APPEARANCES: (Continued)		Page
2	APPEARANCES: (Continued)  Reptg. Conservation Law Foundation:	1	PROCEEDINGS
3	Ivy L. Frignoca, Esq.	2	MR. SPEIDEL: Ladies and
4	Reptg. TransCanada: Douglas M. Patch, Esq. (Orr & Reno)	3	gentlemen, we're about to begin. I would
5	Reptg. Granite State Hydropower Assoc.	4	request that we open the record now, please.
6	kichard Norman	5	Staff would like to welcome the
7	Reptg. Terry Cronin, Intervenor: Arthur B. Gunningham, Esq.	6	parties to today's technical session in Docket
8	Pentti Aalto, pro se	8	No. DE 14-238 regarding the Public Service
9	Reptg. PUC Staff: Advocates (Sattling Staff):	9	Company of New Hampshire, doing business as Eversource, Asset Determination docket. We
10	F. Anne Ross, Esq.	10	would like to take a quick roll call of
11	Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: Susan Chamberlin, Esq.	11	everyone in the hearing room today, and then
12	James Brennan Office of Consumer Advocate	12	we'll open the floor to any statements
13	Reptg. PUC Non-Advocate Staff:	13	regarding document production or other
14	Michael J. Sheehan, Esq. Leszek Stachow, Asst. Dir /Electric Div	14	ancillary matters.
15 16	Jay Dudley, Electric Division Richard Chagnon, Electric Division	15	I'm Alexander Speidel. I am a
17		16	Staff Attorney/Hearing Examiner representing
18		17	Non-Advocate Staff.
19		18	MR. DUDLEY: Jay Dudley with the
20		19	Public Utilities Commission.
21		20	MR. CHAGNON: Rick Chagnon, PUC
22		21	MR. SHEEHAN: Mike Sheehan Non-Advocate Staff.
1		22	
23			NAME A NININI A LA Adalan Chammata TAT
23		24	MR. CANNATA: Mike Cannata, IAI, Non-Advocate Staff.

	DE 14-238 PSNH; Determination regarding PSNH; Page 5	S Gen	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Page 7
1	MR. MURPHY: Dean Murphy,	1	Eversource.
2	principal with the Brattle Group.	2	MR. CHUNG: Eric Chung from
3	MR. STACHOW: Leszek Stachow,	3	Eversource.
4	New Hampshire PUC.	4	MR. SPEIDEL: And on the witness
5	(Ms. Chamberlin joins proceedings.)	5	stand we have
6	MR. SPEIDEL: We're taking roll,	6	MR. HAHN: Richard Hahn from La
7	Susan. And if everyone could please speak into	7	Capra Associates.
8	the microphones as distinctly as possible.	8	MR. KOEHLER: Dan Koehler from
9	MS. CHAMBERLIN: Is it my turn?	9	La Capra Associates.
10	MR. SPEIDEL: Yes.	10	MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you very
11	MS. CHAMBERLIN: Susan	11	much, all. I would now like to open the floor
12	Chamberlain, Consumer Advocate. With me today	12	to the parties that would like to indicate
13	is Jim Brennan.	13	anything regarding document production or
14	MR. AALTO: Pentti Aalto,	14	ancillary matters.
15	representing myself.	15	MS. ROSS: Advocate Staff would
16	MS. FRIGNOCA: Ivy Frignoca,	16	like to request that the 2014 report prepared
17	Conservation Law Foundation.	17	by La Capra Associates be admitted as an
18	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Art Cunningham	18	exhibit so that we may question La Capra on the
19	for Terry Cronin.	19	foundation work that formed the basis for
20	MR. ASLIN: Chris Aslin from the	20	Staff's Advocate Staff's testimony, and
21	A.G.'s office, on behalf of the Office of	21	also, we assume, is the basis for the 2015
22	Energy and Planning. With me is Meredith	22	update.
23	Hatfield, Director of the Office of Energy and	23	MR. SPEIDEL: Is there any
24	Planning, and Jim Letzelter from Liberty	24	contemplation of a non-disclosure agreement
1	Page 6 Consulting Group.	1	Page 8 being proffered to other parties, Ms. Ross?
2	MR. PATCH: Doug Patch from Orr	2	MS. ROSS: Yes, there is. I
3	& Reno for TransCanada.	3	shared, I believe with Non-Advocate Staff last
4	MS. HOLAHAN: Carol Holahan on	4	week, a proposed modification to the
5	behalf of the New England Power Generators	5	non-disclosure agreement that we've already
6	Association.	6	signed with regard to the 2015 update that
7	MR. MAHER: Eric Maher, on	7	merely inserts a couple of references to the
8	behalf of the City of Berlin and Town of	8	2014 report, which would allow us to use that
9	Gorham.	9	confidential 2014 report in this litigation.
10	MR. NORMAN: Richard Norman,	10	And I have that with me in hard copy, so that
11	Granite State Hydropower Association.	11	if it's agreeable to the La Capra witnesses and
12	MR. MONAHAN: Jim Monahan for	12	to the rest of the parties, we could have that
13	the New England Power Generators Association.  MS. ROSS: Anne Ross for	13	executed. I also have taken the liberty of
14	Advocate Staff.	14	making copies of the 2014 report in its
15 16	MR. RICE: Brian Rice,	15 16	unredacted form, which I would then be able to distribute to the parties signing the
17	Eversource.	17	non-disclosure agreement for use in this
18	MR. GOULDING: Chris Goulding,	18	litigation.
19	Eversource.	19	MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you very
20	MR. FOSSUM: Matt Fossum,	20	much.
21	Eversource.	21	To the La Capra witnesses:
22	MS. LANDIS: Linda Landis,	22	Would you have any objection to examining this
23	Eversource.	23	non-disclosure agreement and executing it at
1		1	<u>-</u>
24	MR. BERSAK: Bob Bersak,	24	the present time, or would you like to take

		1	teration Assets - Technical Session Deposition
	Page 9		Page 11
1	that under advisement?	1	10-minute recess to enable the La Capra
2	MR. HAHN: May I ask a	2	witnesses to examine the non-disclosure
3	clarifying question first?	3	agreement and to see if it meets their
4	MR. SPEIDEL: Yes.	4	standards, and then it would be proffered if,
5	MR. HAHN: Reference was made to	5	at their election, to counter parties that wish
6	the 2014 report. There were actually two	6	to execute it today. And in principle, Staff
7	documents provided by La Capra in 2014. One is	7	does not object to the introduction of the
8	entitled "PSNH Generation Asset and PPA	8	report, the 2014 report, as an exhibit;
9	Valuation Report, and the second report is	9	however, we want to make sure that the
10	entitled, "Northeast Market Model,	10	non-disclosure agreement meets the standards
11	January 2014." Which of those reports do you	11	for La Capra's protection of this material as a
12	contemplate making available, subject to the	12	matter of contractual law. Currently, there is
13	non-disclosure agreement?	13	a pending motion for confidential treatment.
14	MS. ROSS: I had contemplated	14	And under the Commission's confidential
15	the asset report, but not the background on the	15	treatment rules, during the pendency of the
16	model. I understand from reading your motion	16	ruling on the motion, under the provisions of
17	for confidential treatment that the model is	17	New Hampshire R.S.A. 91-A, this material is
18	more sensitive because it gets into more of	18	prevented from disclosure. However, if folks
19	your methodology behind your assessment. And	19	receive this material through the
20	at this time I wasn't going to request	20	non-disclosure agreement, there still remains
21	admission of the second report on the market	21	an obligation to protect it from further onward
22	model that was also dated 2014.	22	disclosure under the provisions of R.S.A. 91-A.
23	MR. HAHN: That's correct. That	23	I hope that's understood.
24	clarification is very helpful. I believe,	24	(No verbal response)

Page 10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

given that our 2015 update is based on that 1 first 2014 document, that it would be 2 appropriate to make it available, subject to 3 the non-disclosure agreement. Now, I'm not 4 sure exactly, you know, how that agreement was 5 modified. But in principal, we have no issue 6 7 with providing that first report, the first 2014 report, to parties who have executed the 8 non-disclosure agreement. 9 10 MS. ROSS: I can certainly make it available now to Staff -- to Non-Advocate 11 12

MS. ROSS: I can certainly make it available now to Staff -- to Non-Advocate Staff, and I would hope they could review it on your behalf. As I said, I believe I shared an electronic version with them last week. But I can make that available now.

MR. HAHN: I guess it's not up -- I don't know if it's up to me to be asking questions at this point, but -- MR. SPEIDEL: No, it's all

right. You may ask these questions.

MR. HAHN: Is this something we could look at during a break in the proceeding?
MR. SPEIDEL: Yes, we may.

Perhaps it might not be a bad idea to take a

Page 1.

MR. SPEIDEL: Therefore, at the present time we will have a recess until 9:30 so that the La Capra witnesses may review the non-disclosure agreement and determine as to whether it is appropriate for execution. Thank you. We will be off the record until 9:30.

(Whereupon a brief recess was taken at 9:15 a.m. and the proceedings resumed at 9:30 a.m.)

MR. SPEIDEL: Okay, folks. It's 9:30. I would ask that we open the record.

Mr. Hahn, on behalf of La Capra, could you state if the non-disclosure agreement proffered by Ms. Ross is acceptable?

MR. HAHN: Yes, it is

16 acceptable.

MR. SPEIDEL: Therefore, Ms. Ross, how would you like to handle the distribution of the non-disclosure agreement?

MS. ROSS: I believe I've already given it to parties in the room. So I would encourage people to go ahead and date it and sign at the end for the parties who are going to have access, the receiving party line.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

	DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSNF		
	Page 13	;	Page 15
1	and then we'll get it to Non-Advocate Staff.	1	MR. NORMAN: Granite State
2	MR. SPEIDEL: Very well. Could	2	MS. HOLAHAN: New England
3	we have a quick roll of the parties that do	3	sorry.
4	have the report in their possession at the	4	MR. SPEIDEL: One at a time,
5	present time and those that do not have the	5	folks, one at a time.
6	report in their possession at the present time?	6	MS. HOLAHAN: The New England
7	Non-Advocate Staff has the	7	Power Generators Association will sign the
8	report in its possession at the present time.	8	confidentiality agreement.
9	MS. ROSS: I have it.	9	MR. NORMAN: Granite State will
10	MR. SPEIDEL: Advocate Staff has	10	also sign.
11	it. Anyone else have it?	11	MR. SPEIDEL: Will any other
12	MR. AALTO: Pentti Aalto.	12	parties sign the confidentiality agreement?
13	MS. CHAMBERLIN: Oh, the report.	13	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Terry Cronin
14	I thought you meant the confidential	14	•
15	MR. SPEIDEL: Oh, no, no,	15	will not sign the confidentiality agreement.  MR. ASLIN: Office of Energy and
16	not the non-disclosure agreement, the actual	16	Planning will sign the confidentiality
17	report itself. So, Advocate and Non-Advocate	17	agreement, although I would reserve our right,
18	Staff have the report. Any other parties have	18	since we haven't seen the unredacted portion
19	the report in their possession?	19	yet, reserve the right to request additional
20	MR. PATCH: Can I just clarify?	20	information later. After we've seen the
21	You mean the unredacted report?	21	
22	MR. SPEIDEL: Yes.	22	unredacted report, we may reserve the right to request additional information we deem
23	MR. PATCH: TransCanada doesn't	23	necessary and relevant to the docket.
24	have it, and we're not signing the	24	MR. MAHER: The City of Berlin
	nave it, and we to not signing the	23	MR. MATIER. The City of Bernin
	Page 14	,	Page 16
_	non-disclosure.	-	
1	MR. SPEIDEL: Okay. Which	1	and the Town of Gorham echoes the Office of
2		2	Consumer Advocate's [sic] stance.
3	parties intend to sign the non-disclosure agreement today? Could we please have a roll	3	MR. BERSAK: Alex, Eversource
4 5	of those? Speak into the microphone and state	4	has signed the agreement.
-	<del>_</del>	5	MR. SPEIDEL: Okay. Is that a
6	your parties, please.  MS. CHAMBERLIN: Consumer	6	full roll of all the parties who intend to sign
7	Advocate will sign the confidentiality	7	or have signed the agreement?  MS. ROSS: Advocate Staff will
8	•	8	
9	agreement.  MS. FRIGNOCA: Conservation Law	9	sign the agreement.
10	Foundation will sign the confidentiality	10	MR. SPEIDEL: Very well. Staff
11	agreement.	11	takes no position regarding the assertion or preservation of rights by the third parties;
13	MR. AALTO: Pentti Aalto will	13	however, we do not object to the execution of
14	sign the agreement.	14	the non-disclosure agreements or the subsequent
15	MR. MAHER: City of Berlin and	15	distribution of the unredacted reports from
16	the Town of Gorham will sign the	16	2014. Therefore, I would ask that Ms. Ross
17	confidentiality agreement.	17	handle the distribution of those reports at the
18	One point of clarification:	18	present time. Thank you.
19	Will signing the confidentiality agreement	19	MS. ROSS: Thank you.
20	allow for disclosure to consultants retained by	20	(Ms. Ross distributes the unredacted 2014
21	the parties, so long as that consultant signs	21	La Capra report to parties having signed
22	this confidentiality agreement? Yes?	22	non-disclosure agreement.)
23	MR. HAHN: Yes.	23	MS. ROSS: If it would be
24	MR. MAHER: Thank you.	24	possible later, we would probably like to have
1	Tract tractal annual your		possible later, we would probably like to mave
-			

	DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSNH	s Gene	ration Assets - Technical Session Deposition
[WIT	NESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 17	[WITNE	SS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 19
1	copies just for the record, so that people who	1	Boston; Portland, Maine; and Essex Junction,
2	signed have a copy of what they signed. With	2	Vermont. I've been with La Capra for 12 years.
3	that, I will	3	in which time I have had numerous assignments
4	MR. SPEIDEL: That would be	4	in the energy industry: Asset valuations,
5	fine. We can provide those copies.	5	utility planning, utility operations. Just
6	MS. ROSS: I assume you all have	6	about every aspect. Engineering. I won't list
7	this. I think we're finished with that piece.	7	them all. But prior to that I worked for 30
8	MR. SPEIDEL: Very good. Are	8	years for Boston Edison Company in various
9	there any other requests by parties related to	9	management functions, including all of those
10	document production or other matters, such as	10	above areas. I have a master's in electrical
11	procedural matters?	11	engineering, power systems, from Northeastern
12	MR. MAHER: The City of Berlin	12	University, and an MBA from Boston College.
13	and the Town of Gorham would like to state on	13	And I'm a registered professional engineer in
14	the record its prior request for document	14	the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
15	production.	15 Q.	Mr. Koehler, the same, please.
16	MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you. Is	16 A.	
17	there anything that the City of Berlin and the	17	consultant with La Capra Associates. I've been
18	Town of Gorham would like to mention, in terms	18	with La Capra for five years, during which time
19	of the ordering of questioning today?	19	I've worked in all areas of the company's
20	MR. MAHER: Not in terms of	20	business. Most relevant to the work here, I've
21	ordering well, with the exception, I	21	done a lot of work for our Market Analytics
22	believe, my co-counsel, Chris Boldt, has	22	Division in particular, running the AURORAxmp
23	requested that the City of Berlin be allowed to	23	Market Simulation model, as well as other
24	go at the end of all other questioning, out of	24	market forecasting. I've also been involved in
<u> </u>		ļ	

1

#### [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 18

```
order of your proposal.
 1
 2
               MR. SPEIDEL: Excellent. Is
 3
      there any objection to that proposal by the
      City of Berlin?
 4
 5
         (No verbal response)
               MR. SPEIDEL: None heard. I
 6
 7
      would like to invite my co-counsel, Mike
      Sheehan, to begin the questioning of the
8
9
      witnesses after they are sworn.
               May the court reporter please
10
11
      swear the witnesses.
12
        (WHEREUPON, RICHARD S. HAHN and DANIEL
         KOEHLER were duly sworn and cautioned by
13
14
         the Court Reporter.)
         RICHARD S. HAHN, SWORN
15
16
         DANIEL KOEHLER, SWORN
17
               EXAMINATION
18 BY MR. SHEEHAN:
19
       Good morning. Mr. Hahn, if you could give us a
20
       brief description of your professional
21
       background that brings you here today.
22 A. (Hahn) I'm a principal consultant with the firm
       of La Capra Associates, Incorporated. We are
23
24
       an employee-owned consulting firm located in
```

### [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 2u

several asset valuations, working with expert

}		The second of th
2		witnesses at La Capra to develop asset
3		valuations of gas-fired generators,
4		hydroelectric assets and other generators,
5		mostly in New England.
6		My educational background, I have a
7		bachelor's in applied math from Yale
8		University, and I have a master's in public
9		policy and management from the University of
10		Southern Maine.
11	Q.	Thank you. And for both of you, feel free to
12		answer the questions as appropriate between the
13		two of you. I assume some will be better for
14		one than the other, and I can leave it to you
15		to decide those. And second, with regard to
16		confidentiality, since not everyone in the room
17		has signed the NDA, I would appreciate, if we
18		get to areas that are getting close, that we
19		try our best to answer questions without
20		getting into confidential matters. But
21		certainly if we have to, we will cross that
22		bridge when we get there, as far as having to
23		excuse people for those particular answers.
24		But we'll try our best not to have to go there.
		3

#### [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 21 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLE用]age 23 Has La Capra filed any testimony in this 1 1 2 docket, 14-238? 3 A. (Hahn) No. 4 Q. Did La Capra file testimony in the sister 4 docket, 11-250, which was the so-called 5 5 O. 6 "Scrubber" docket? 6 7 A. (Hahn) No, we did not file testimony. 7 8 Q. And in the docket that produced the reports that we'll be talking about this morning, which 9 was 13-020, you filed reports. Was there any 10 10 testimony associated with those reports that 11 11 A. 12 you recall? 12 13 A. (Hahn) I don't believe so, no. 13 14 Q. Okay. La Capra did prepare a -- and as you 14 just said before you went -- we started this 15 15 session, that you prepared two reports in 2014: 16 16 17 A valuation report and a second one called 18 "Market Analysis"; is that correct? 18 (Hahn) Yes. The second report was the 19 A. 20 Northeast Market Model. But yes, you're correct, there are two reports. 21 And if you could just repeat for us the title 22 Q. 22 of the valuation report which is the one that 23

# [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 22

was just the subject of the NDA and distributed

- to some of the parties in this room? 1
- 2 A. (Hahn) Sure. It's "PSNH Generation Asset and PPA Valuation Report," dated March 31st, 2014. 3
- 4 Q. And that report does a lot. But at the end, it
- comes to an opinion on the valuation of the 5 PSNH assets as of that date; is that correct? 6
- 7 A. (Hahn) That's correct.

24

- O. And that value, total value was what? Two 8 hundred and some million dollars; correct? 9
- 10 A. (Hahn) Two hundred and twenty-five million dollars. 11
- 12 Q. And that value is comprised of different values
- for the different PSNH generation assets; is 13 14 that correct?
- 15 A. (Hahn) Yes. Each asset was valued separately 16
- by generating station. So, Merrimack Station was valued as one asset, even though there's 17
- multiple generating units there. 18
- 19 Q. I'm going to refer to that document as "the 2014 La Capra Report," okay. 20
- 21 A. (Hahn) Fine, sir.
- 22 Q. There was another report filed in the same
- 23 docket, 13-020, about the same time. That was
- a report prepared by Commission Staff. Do you 24

- recall that?
- 2 A. (Hahn) I'm aware that Commission Staff filed a
- report on April 1st, 2014, if that's the
- document you're referring to.
- Correct. And I believe you have a copy of it
- in front of you. At the top of the page is
- listed IR 13-020; is that correct?
- 8 A. (Hahn) That is correct, sir.
- 9 Q. If you could read for us the title of that
- report.
- (Hahn) "Public Service of New Hampshire (PSNH)
- Preliminary Status Report Addressing the
- Economic Interest of PSNH's Retail Customers As
- It Relates to the Potential Divestiture of
- PSNH's Generating Plants." That's dated
- April 1st, 2014.
- 17 Q. And that cover sheet indicates who prepared
- that report; is that correct?
- 19 A. (Hahn) Yes.
- 20 Q. And that was who?
- 21 A. (Hahn) Staff of the New Hampshire Public
- Utilities Commission.
- 23 Q. And that cover sheet indicates what documents
- 24 were filed with that report; is that correct?

# [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 24

- 1 A. (Hahn) Well, the title page references,
- "Accompanied By Detailed Valuation Analysis 2 3
  - Prepared by La Capra Associates and the ESS
- Group." 4
- 5 Q. And as you just said, this document is dated
- April 1, the day after the report that you 6
- 7 prepared; is that correct?
- 8 A. (Hahn) That is correct.
- 9 Q. At the time that the -- assuming for argument's
- sake that this report was filed April 1, as of 10
- April 1, 2014, had La Capra reviewed the Staff 11 12 report?
- 13 A. (Hahn) We did not review a prior version of
- this report, to the best of my recollection. 14
- We did provide Staff input information that was 15
- taken from our 2014 La Capra report that Staff 16 17
  - used in producing this report, but we did not
- 18 review the report.
- 19 Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to Page 3 of the 20
  - Staff report. And there's a chart on Page 3
- titled, "Forecast of Retail Default Service 21
- Rates." Do you see that? 22
- 23 A. (Hahn) Yes.
- 24 Q. Did you prepare that table, La Capra?

	Richard Hahn and Daniel Koehler - La Capra Associates - October 26, 2015  DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSNH's Generation Assets - Technical Session Deposition					
(WIT	VES	S PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 25	[WI]	TNES	S PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 27	
1 1	<b>A</b> .	(Hahn) No, that table was prepared by Staff.	1		that. Do you recall that process?	
		And did you have any	2	A.	(Hahn) Yes.	
1	•	(Hahn) Actually, when you say "table," you're	3	Q.	And with regard to the 2015 report, there was	
4		talking about the graph?	4		both a public version and a confidential	
5 (		Correct.	5		version. Do you recall that?	
		(Hahn) Okay. Yes, that's Staff. That graph	6	A.	(Hahn) Correct.	
7		was prepared by Staff.	7	Q.	I also note that the documents prepared didn't	
8	Q.	And other than perhaps providing Staff some	8		have the "Draft" stamp on all of them. Was a	
و		information, did you have any other involvement	9		final version of that report ever prepared by	
10		in the preparation of that graph on Page 3?	10		La Capra?	
11	A.	(Hahn) Not in the preparation of the graph.	11	A.	(Hahn) I don't believe so.	
12		The way this came about was we were finishing	12	Q.	Can you tell us what you recall of the process	
13		up our valuation report, the 2014 La Capra	13		that resulted in the 2015, what I will call	
14		report, and Staff, at the time, asked us if we	14		"the 2015 update"?	
15		could provide data that would allow them to	15	A.	(Hahn) Sure. Our 2014 report was issued on	
16		develop a forecast of what default service	16		March 31st, 2014. I'm not sure exactly when,	
17		rates would be going forward. Our modeling	17		but sometime, I want to say, either June or	
18		analysis did produce that information, so we	18		July of 2015, Staff called us called me at	
19		provided that to Staff. Staff then took that	19		La Capra and asked about what has changed since	
20		information, gathered other data and made other	20		the 2014 La Capra report was issued. We	
21		calculations. And that's my understanding of	21		discussed changes, and Staff asked about	
22		what went into this graph.	22		whether it would be possible to produce an	
23	Q.	So is it fair to say that La Capra did not	23		update of the 2014 report. At that time, I	
24		prepare a forecast of PSNH default service	24	:	stated that there were really two alternative	
[WI]	TNES	SS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 26	[W	ITNE	SS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 2	
1		rates?	1		ways to proceed: One would be a complete	
2	A.	(Hahn) That's fair.	2	2	update, a complete redo of the 2014 La Capra	
3	Q.		3	3	report, which would take several months and	
4		there's another graph titled, "Forecast of PSNH	4	<u>l</u>	approximately about the same level of effort as	
5		Default Service Rate Compared to Retail Default		5	went into the original report. We also talked	
6		Service Rate." Do you see that?	1	5	about doing a less-extensive update to account	
7	A.	(Hahn) I do.	'	7	for what I felt was the major changes that had	
8	Q.	Did La Capra prepare that chart or graph?	1	3	occurred since 2014. And those changes were	
9	A.	(Hahn) No.	!	9	that there was more information on forward	
10	Q.	Did La Capra prepare any forecast of PSNH	10	0	capacity prices and the outlook for energy	
1		1 0 1 4 11 1 0 14	۱ ـ .		muines in New England montionless algorita	

[WI]	NES	S PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER page 26
1		rates?
2	A.	(Hahn) That's fair.
3	Q.	On the next page of the Staff report, Page 4,
4		there's another graph titled, "Forecast of PSNH
5		Default Service Rate Compared to Retail Default
6		Service Rate." Do you see that?
7	A.	(Hahn) I do.
8	Q.	Did La Capra prepare that chart or graph?
		(Hahn) No.
10	Q.	Did La Capra prepare any forecast of PSNH
11		default service rate compared to retail default
12		service?
		(Hahn) No.
14	Q.	Did La Capra prepare a report for Commission
15		Staff in 2015?
16	A.	(Hahn) Yes.
17	Q.	
18	A.	
19		Report, August 2015 Update." And the date of
20		that report is August 17th, 2015.
21	Q.	
22		went through a similar process that we just did
23		regarding the non-disclosure agreements and
1		

production of that report to parties who signed

	J	report, which would take several monais and
	4	approximately about the same level of effort as
	5	went into the original report. We also talked
	6	about doing a less-extensive update to account
	7	for what I felt was the major changes that had
	8	occurred since 2014. And those changes were
	9	that there was more information on forward
	10	capacity prices and the outlook for energy
	11	prices in New England, particularly electric
	12	locational marginal prices, LMPs. And also,
	13	prices for delivered natural gas to New England
	14	power plants had changed. And I indicated that
	15	if we did just update it, took the original
	16	study, all of the simulations that were done
	17	and just so everyone understands, we have a
1	18	model that simulates the operation of the
	19	ISO-New England electricity market on an hourly
	20	basis. It's fairly involved to run one of
	21	these. But what we suggested was that we could
	22	keep the dispatch the same and update our
	23	models for what would amount to higher capacity
	24	revenues to generators and lower energy
	ļ	_

[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 29 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 31 revenues to generators, and we indicated that 1 England Energy Settlement System. So it Yarios 1 that would take far less time and far less 2 by where you are in New England. 2 3 effort. 3 Q. And that price, that LMP -- strike that. 4 Q. And the second option is what Staff elected to You also prepared in the 2014 report a 4 have you do; is that correct? 5 forecast of capacity prices; is that correct? 5 6 A. (Hahn) That's correct. 6 A. (Hahn) Yes, that was one of the inputs into the 7 Q. And that's what resulted in the 2015 update we 2014 report. The LMPs were an output. 7 have in front of us now. 8 Q. And part of the -- as you just said, part of 9 A. (Hahn) Yes. the reason for the 2015 update is that the 9 10 Q. And the bottom line of that update was a new capacity forecast in the 2014 report was out of 10 number for the total value of the PSNH assets; 11 date because there had been another Forward 11 12 is that right? Capacity auction; is that right? 12 13 A. (Hahn) Yes. (Hahn) That's correct. In between the 2014 La 13 A. 14 Q. And that bottom line change was what? Capra report and the 2015 La Capra report, 14 15 A. (Hahn) It didn't change very much. Increased FCA -- which stands for Forward Capacity 15 to \$235 million from \$225 million; so, an 16 Auction -- No. 9 had occurred, and we knew what 16 increase of about \$10 million in the valuation 17 17 the prices were. of the assets. That's all of the assets in 18 Does the LMP in your 2014 report contain any 18 O. 19 aggregate. 19 costs related to PSNH generation? Some went up, some went down, and that result 20 Q. (Hahn) The LMP is a indication of the marginal 20 A. 21 was -cost at that location. It represents the cost 21 22 A. (Hahn) Net increase of 10 million. of supplying one more megawatt in an hour -- or 22 23 Q. As part of the 2015 update, did Staff ask you one megawatt hour during that hour, at that 23 24 to update or calculate the PSNH energy service particular location. So, to the extent that 24 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 30 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 32 1 rate? Public Service of New Hampshire's generating 1 2 A. (Hahn) I don't believe so. units were in the dispatch that created that 2 3 Q. Did Staff ask you to calculate any impact that price, then I think arguably you could say it 3 the sale of the assets would have on PSNH's had an impact. But the price itself -- the 4 5 energy service rates? LMPs in New England, the vast majority of the 5 6 A. (Hahn) No. time, are set by natural gas combined cycle 6 Q. Did the report ask you to give any opinion on 7 units. So I can't say for sure, but I don't 7 the question of whether divestiture should 8 believe that any of Public Service of New 8 9 happen, or when? Hampshire's units set the price. 9 (Hahn) The 2015 report did not ask La Capra 10 A. Well, I'm asking what you looked at in coming 10 Q. that question, nor were we asked to address it. 11 11 up with your opinion of what the locational 12 marginal price was forecasted to be. Did you 12 13 Q. And that holds for the 2014 report as well. do any analysis of PSNH generation costs? 13 You weren't asked to address that question --14 (Hahn) We did not do any analysis. But let me 14 A. meaning, whether divestiture was appropriate, 15 explain what we did do. 15 and if so, when? 16 We had -- we developed our own forecast of 16 17 A. (Hahn) That's correct. We were not asked that. generator fuels -- so, natural gas, coal, wood. 17 We were not asked to address that question as 18 We did receive from the Company, Public Service 18 part of the 2014 report. 19 19 of New Hampshire, operating characteristics for 20 Q. The 2014 report projected an LMP which is -their plants and for, I think it was also 20 21 what is an "LMP"? variable O&M, non-fuel O&M costs. And those 21 (Hahn) LMP stands for locational marginal 22 A. were inputs into our Northeast Market Model, 22 price. It is a -- there are -- it is a price 23 23 which runs an hourly dispatch of the New at each commercial zone within the ISO-New 24 England energy grid. So those -- and we have 24

		Richard Hahn and Daniel Koehler - La DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSNH's	
[WIT	NES	S PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 33	[V
		inputs for every other generating unit in the	
1		ISO-New England system as well. And that's how	
2		ISO-New England dispatches its system. It	
3		gets it receives bids from generators'	
4		offers to sell their output. They run a	
5			
6		dispatch that estimates the least cost way to	
7		serve any particular load level, and then they	Ì
8		calculate the marginal price, which is the cost	
9		of one additional megawatt in an hour, and that	١.
10		becomes the LMP. Our Northeast Market Model	
11		simulates that process in the future. So it	
12		performs essentially the same dispatch that	:
13		ISO-New England would, except ours is	:
14		forward-looking farther into the future.	1:
15	Q.	Is it fair to say that the LMP is a market	1
16		price?	
17	A.	(Hahn) Yes.	
18	Q.	And that market price, although as you just	
19		described, it takes into account many, many	
20		pieces of information well, strike that.	1
21		Did you review Mr. Cannata's testimony	
22		in this case?	1
23	A.	(Hahn) I did.	
24	Q.		
[WI	TNE	SS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 34	
1		obligation payments that La Capra used in its	
2		New Hampshire energy prices for the low gas	
3		case. The question is: Did he accurately	

[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 35			
1 2 3	Q.	And my question is simply: Did Mr. Cannata accurately reflect values he says are from La Capra. Are they correct? And the issue is	
4		it's a math thing. You had annual values. He	
5		did the math to make them into monthly values.	
6	A.	(Hahn) Oh, all right. That I can explain.	
7		Sorry if I was being obtuse.	
8	Q.	That's okay.	
9	A.	(Hahn) The ISO-New England capacity year runs	
10		from June 1st of one year to May 31st of the	
11		next year. So they refer to that as a	
12		"capacity year." I'll use as an example	
13		2014-2015; so, June 1st, 2014 through May 31st	
14		of 2015. And the prices are set for those 12	
15		months, seven of which occur in 2014 and five	
16		of which occur in 2015. So it's not uncommon	
17		to use to do cost analyses on a	
18		calendar-year basis. So you would need to	
19		if I wanted to do a calendar-year forecast for	
20		2015, I would take five months from the	
21		2014/2015 capacity year and seven months from	

- case. The question is: Did he accurately 3
- reflect those values in his testimony?
- 5 A. (Hahn) I don't think Mr. Cannata's testimony
- used LMPs. So I guess the answer to that 6
- 7 question is, I don't think so.
- The question wasn't directed at the LMPs but at 8 the load obligation payments. I can find the 9
- reference. 10
- (Hahn) Yeah, a reference would be helpful, Mr. 11 A.
- Sheehan. 12
- 13 Q. Sure.
- (Pause) 14
- 15 O. Do you have a copy of Mr. Cannata's testimony
- in front of you? 16
- 17 A. (Hahn) I do.
- 18 O. At Table 1 on Bates page on the bottom, Page 10
- of 41. 19
- 20 A. (Hahn) I have Page 10, Table 1.
- 21 O. There's a column third from the right titled,
- "La Capra Weighted Average Dollars Per Kilowatt 22
- 23 Hour Month For Calendar Year." Do you see that?
- 24 A. (Hahn) Yes.

### [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 3L

So, five times the first price, plus seven

the 2015/2016 capacity year and weight them.

times the second price, divided by 12 gives you

- an average for the year. And it appears to me 1
- from Table 1 that that's what Mr. Cannata did 2
- as well. 3

22

23

24

- 4 Q. You don't have your calculator in front of you.
- But if that's the approach he took, that was 5
- the right approach to take in order to get a 6
  - number as he described it, a weighted average
- 8 in dollars per kilowatt month.
- 9 A. (Hahn) For a calendar year, yes, that appears
- 10 to be the same method he used.
- Mr. Cannata held capacity values constant at 11 O.
- 12 the FCM 9 levels from 2019 through 2021. If
- you were to do that kind of projection, would 13
- you do that, or would you do some other
- 14
- analysis for future capacity values? 15
- (Hahn) I would not just take the FCA 9 price 16 A.
- and assume it fixed. We have a model that 17
- forecasts capacity prices, and that's what we 18
- would use. That's what we used in the 2014 La 19
- Capra report, and that's what we used in the 20
- 2015 La Capra report, the August 2015 update. 21
- And the update, the future -- the forecasted 22 Q.
- prices based on FCM 9 showed that those prices 23
- rose from FCM 9 levels; is that correct? 24

[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 37 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 39 1 A. (Hahn) Eventually. quote. That is what you, La Capra, did; 2 Q. At least over the course of that, well, yeah, 2 correct? two- or three-year period? 3 з А. (Hahn) Correct. 4 A. (Hahn) I'm going to refer to the confidential "2) forecasted competitive market energy portion of the 2015 report, but we don't need 5 rates." You provided that information; is that 5 to go into a special session for that. Those 6 6 correct? of you that have the report, you can see it. 7 7 A. (Hahn) Well, no, I think that's what Staff Those of you that haven't signed a 8 provided. We provided information in terms of 8 non-disclosure agreement won't be able to see 9 9 LMP and capacity prices, and then Staff -- the 10 April 1st Staff report produced the forecast of 10 But on Page 7 in the update, immediately 11 competitive market energy rates, which would be 11 after FCA No. 9 we assume a slight reduction in 12 what customers would pay if default service 12 prices and then followed by increases each 13 were procured from ISO markets. 13 14 year. Okay. And returning to the quote, 14 Q. Okay. If you could locate Mr. Chung's 15 O. "3) forecasted PSNH's energy service rates." 15 16 testimony. I understand you have it in front 16 And again, that is something that Staff did, of you. And in particular, Page 4, you have 17 not La Capra; is that correct? 17 18 that in front of you? (Hahn) I believe that's correct, yes. 18 A. (Hahn) Yes, I do, sir. 19 A. 19 Q. And, "4) costs associated with the Burgess and 20 Q. In the question and answer at Lines 4 through 20 Lempster PPAs." Is that work that La Capra did 11, the answer says, "The primary source of the 21 21 or Staff? 22 data for the savings estimate was the April 1, (Hahn) Well, the costs of the PPAs were from 22 A. 2014 study conducted by La Capra Associates as 23 the PPAs themselves. So we had that in our 23 24 part of Docket No. IR 13-020." report, and Staff would have that information 24 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 38 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 40 My question is: The April 1, 2014 study, as well. was that the one conducted by La Capra or by 2 Okay. And the following statement says, "All Staff? 3 of these assumptions come directly from the La 3 4 A. (Hahn) No, the April 1st report was performed Capra study." That's not entirely accurate, as 4 by Staff. we just summarized; correct? 5 6 Q. And that's what we just went through 15 minutes (Hahn) Correct. I think, obviously, some of it 7 ago. came from our report and some of it came from 7 8 A. (Hahn) Yes, sir. Staff's report. 8 9 Q. The next sentence says, "The La Capra study There was another statement that you don't have 9 Q. contained forecasts of prices for PSNH default 10 in front of you that was in a pleading filed in 10 energy service, as well as that of 11 this case that's in a similar vein. I just 11 competitively supplied electricity, along with 12 want to read it to you and ask you the same 12 other information." Again, is that the 13 13 question, if there's similar confusion between forecasts that were in the Staff report, not in 14 what La Capra did and what Staff did. This is 14 15 the La Capra report? from Advocate Staff's motion regarding what 15 (Hahn) I believe that they were the forecast --16 A. 16 brought about this procedure. that the reference is to the forecast in the 17 17 Quote: "Mr. Cannata directly refutes the 18 Staff report. 18 conclusions of La Capra analysis that 19 Q. Turn to Page 5 of the same, Mr. Chung's divestiture is in the economic interest of PSNH 19 20 testimony, question and answer, Lines 1 through 20 customers." 7. The answer is: "The most significant 21 21 So my question is: Did the La Capra 22 financial modeling assumptions contained in my analysis conclude that the divestiture is in 22 analysis relate to: 1) the estimated 23 the economic interest of PSNH customers in any 23 generation assets sale price" -- I'll stop the 24 24 of its reports in 2014 or 2015?

DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSNH's Generation Assets - Technical Session Deposition [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 41 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 43 valuation was as of January 1st, 2015. And in 1 A. (Hahn) That was not a conclusion we offered one the 2015 La Capra report update, the valuation 2 way or another. 2 was of January 1st, 2016. I just need to make 3 3 Q. Thank you. that clarification on the report. Just a clarification on what -- again, 4 4 going back to what La Capra did in the 2014 BY MR. SHEEHAN: 5 Fair enough. And I had one more follow-up report as updated, as opposed to what Staff did 6 6 in its report. Am I correct to say that what along what we were talking about. 7 7 Did La Capra give information on the PSNH La Capra did is it calculated and projected 8 8 energy service rate? Did you provide any LMP, and it prepared a capacity forecast, but 9 9 information on PSNH energy service rate? it was Staff that put those two numbers with 10 10 (Hahn) No. We agreed that Staff probably knew 11 A. whatever other adjustments it made to come up 11 more about how that rate was set than we did with a competitive rate and a PSNH rate? Is 12 12 since that's a rate that is set in a room like that a fair statement? 13 13 this. So, Staff did that estimate without (Hahn) But we did talk with Staff about that, 14 assistance from us. as I previously indicated. Staff asked us 15 15 Thank you. if -- you know, how would you do a comparison. 16 Q. 16 And we said, well, the -- if you buy default MR. SHEEHAN: Alex, you want to 17 17 take it from here? service from a supplier, a third-party 18 18 supplier, or if you go to the ISO markets MR. SPEIDEL: Very good. Thank 19 19 you, Mr. Sheehan. We would now like to open, directly, you'll pay capacity energy, ISO's 20 20 other costs, which include ancillary services per the order of questioning, the floor to 21 21 Advocate Staff, Ms. Ross. and things like that. And that information --22 22 **EXAMINATION** certainly the two biggest pieces are capacity 23 23 BY MS. ROSS: and energy. They account for 95, 97 percent of 24 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 4 IWITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 42 1 Q. Thank you. Sounds like the mic is working. the cost. So we gave them that. We suggested 1 Good morning, Mr. Hahn and Mr. Koehler. that that could be used to estimate what a 2 2 How do you pronounce your last name? Is it third-party supplier would bid in a competitive 3 3 "Kaylor" or "Kohler"? market. So we did provide them with that 4 (Koehler) "Kaylor." guidance. But as I said earlier, Staff did the 5 A. 5 6 Q. "Kaylor." Thank you. calculation itself. 6 7 A. (Hahn) He wishes he was related to the Kohls. So if one were to critique what Staff did in 7 Thank you for correcting the valuation date. I its report, putting the pieces together, that Ο. 8 8 was actually -- that was going to be my first was Staff's work, not La Capra's. I understand 9 9 question. you gave them some data points. 10 10 Let me just ask you. What preparation did (Hahn) Correct. What happened was we gave them 11 11 A. you undertake for this deposition? a spreadsheet. They made some calculations. 12 12 They sent it back to us, and it looked okay to 13 A. (Hahn) I reread our reports: The 2014 La Capra 13 report, the 2015 La Capra report, update. I us. But we did not actually do it, no. 14 14 reviewed certain pieces of testimony that had 15 15 Q. Okay.

Alex, that you're the chairman of these 17 proceedings, I don't have any more questions 18 now. I think -- go ahead. 19 (Hahn) Mr. Koehler reminded me. In one of my 20 A. previous answers to your questions, you said 21 that we estimated the asset valuation as of 22 March 31st, 2014. My answer to that was too 23 quick. In the 2014 La Capra report, the asset 24

MR. SHEEHAN: To the extent.

proceeding or in previous proceedings. I think 23 that's pretty much what I looked at. 24

responses that were provided either in this

or stipulation? I'm not sure which. But I

been filed: The testimony of Mr. Chung I read;

the testimony of Mr. Frantz; the testimony of

Mr. Cannata; the testimony of Mr. Stachow; I

read the settlement agreement. Is it agreement

read that. And I may have seen some discovery

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

### Richard Hahn and Daniel Koehler - La Capra Associates - October 26, 2015 eposition

DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSN	H's Generation Assets, Tooksi-16
1 Q. And what subject areas did the discovery 2 responses deal with? 3 A. (Hahn) I think in Mr. Cannata's testimony he 4 cited a discovery response by Mr. Smagula. I'm 5 not even sure what docket it was. But I went 6 and found that and looked at it. I don't 7 recall the others specifically. 8 Q. Okay. Did you communicate with the 9 Non-Advocate Staff prior to the deposition? 10 A. (Hahn) You mean yes. 11 Q. And what were the nature of those discussions? 12 MR. SHEEHAN: I object, Anne. 13 Of course he talked to counsel about today. 14 But those would be privileged conversations. 15 If you want to ask what he was provided or did, 16 any further conversations with counsel present 17 would be protected. 18 BY MS. ROSS: 19 Q. Could you describe the subject matter areas 20 that the Staff identified to you that you would 21 need to be prepared to discuss today?	1 Q. What did you do to produce the 2015 report? I know you touched on it earlier. But could you just give me a little more detail. Did you actually take model runs you used in 2014 and changed them? Or how did you produce the 2015 report?  7 A. (Hahn) The 2014 valuation report created a proforma financial statement for each PSNH generating plant. That financial statement would include, as any generator would in the ISO-New England settlement system generates, capacity revenues, energy revenues, ancillary service revenues. And the generator has certain costs. They have to buy fuel; they have variable O&M they need supplies to run the power plants; they have to hire people; they make capital investments. And the net impact of that financial statement determines what the value would be to a prospective investor. So we looked at the we approached
that the Staff identified to you that you would	20 investor. So we looked at the we approached
22 A. (Hahn) I was provided by I'm sorry. Staff	And it's referred to as a
provided to me summaries from certain parties in this case that indicated what issues they	And that's a fairly standard tool for valuating assets. And so we basically had that financial
[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 46	[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 48
wanted to address. I viewed that to be sort of a heads-up as to what we might get asked on. I think I saw one from CLF, one from the city and town, maybe one from OEP. But there were, like, four or five of them. I was provided	statement as one of the key determinants of the asset value that we estimated. Does that answer your question or not? I'm not sure. Q. And other inputs that would go into that asset
6 those and I read them I	5 value would be some forecast of those revenue

those and I read them. I was provided with a 6 verbal description of how this proceeding would 7 occur today, you know, what the format would 8 be, sort of general background information. 9 10 Q. Okay. Thank you.

When you prepared the draft 2015 update for Staff and submitted it in the draft form, were there any communications regarding whether or not that would be finalized?

14 15 A. (Hahn) I don't believe so. It's not unusual 16 for us to provide a client with a draft report. We don't allow clients to dictate what goes in 17 the report. But sometimes they can suggest 18 either areas that need to be explained better 19 20 or need to be clarified. So we did provide a draft report. I don't recall any conversations 21 about taking the word "Draft" off and reissuing 22 it. Maybe they occurred, but I don't recall 23 24 them.

- minants of the
- es that
- not sure.
- to that asset e forecast of those revenue
- streams going forward that you would then use 6
- in your DSF [sic] analysis; correct? 7
- 8 A. (Hahn) Sure. So we would forecast the capacity revenues the capacity price. The energy 9
- revenues came from our simulation of the ISO 10
- energy markets. Many of the units we deemed 11
- 12 did not qualify for ancillary service revenue.
- 13 And so there was a small component of that. 14
- But that constitutes sort of the revenue line 15 in this model.
- 16 O. So in the 2015 update, some of the revenue 17 lines would have been adjusted from the 2014 18
- report or analysis; correct? (Hahn) That's correct. So we updated our 19 A.
- capacity prices, which updated the assumed 20 capacity revenues. And we had -- we estimated 21
- the impact of new gas prices because now we 22
- 23 have a new gas price forecast for the New
- England area. And so we updated the energy --24

11

12

13

[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 49 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 51 1 A. (Hahn) Absolutely. the forecast of energy revenues. And we held, 2 Q. And so is it fair to say that your natural gas I think, pretty much everything else, pretty 2 forecast in your 2015 update takes into account 3 much everything else the same. 3 those winter price peaks in gas pricing? 4 Q. And is it your opinion that by capturing the 4 5 A. (Hahn) Yes. Forward Capacity Market shift and the gas And how does your asset value in the 2015 market shift, you address the two major changes 6 O. 6 update capture Forward Capacity Market pricing so that the resulting valuation is still 7 7 increases? 8 relatively valid? 8 (Hahn) Well, it's higher Forward Capacity 9 A. 9 A. (Hahn) Yes. Market revenues which are offset by lower In your 2015 update, you mentioned there's been 10 10 Q. energy market revenues. a decline in forecasted natural gas prices. Is 11 11 Okay. So, even though the overall number is 12 Q. this the case, even though we've had winter 12 slightly higher for the assets, is that a volatility in gas prices in New England? 13 13 reflection of the capacity market? (Hahn) Yes. We do forecast a continuation of 14 14 A. (Hahn) It's a reflection of both. the price spikes, but at a much lower level 15 A. 15 16 Q. Of both. than when they peaked in the winter of 2013. I 16 (Hahn) Now, I need to be maybe -- offer a mean, gas prices are definitely seasonal, as 17 A. 17 little more detail here. For the hydro units, 18 are electric prices. 18 they get -- hydro unit gets more of its revenue And do you anticipate they will continue to 19 19 O. from the energy market than it does capacity peak in the winter months going forward? 20 20 market. So those would not -- they would have (Hahn) Yes, we believe that gas prices in the 21 21 A. some increase in capacity, but less of a winter months will be higher than in the summer 22 22 reduction in energy. Some units like Newington 23 months. But as a general rule, the market 23 don't run very much, so the energy impact on forward prices are telling you that the annual 24 24 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 5. (WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER) age 50 those is primarily the higher capacity market average prices of those is going down. 1 2 Q. And for purposes of forecasting, then you're revenues. 2 3 Q. Hence the increase in your valuation on comfortable using an annual average, even 3 though there are price spikes? Newington? 4 4 (Hahn) Well, we don't use an annual average. 5 A. (Hahn) Correct. 5 A. 6 Q. Is there a new Confidential Figure 4, which is We use a monthly average. That's a fairly 6 the New Hampshire LMPs which is shown on standard technique in long-term simulations. 7 7 Page 29 of your 2014 report? Even though it's an hourly dispatch model, we 8 8 use monthly fuel prices. So they would show (Witness reviews document.) 9 9 MR. SHEEHAN: What page? very high prices in January and February for 10 10 MS. ROSS: Twenty-nine. natural gas, which is an input fuel to many 11 11 generators in New England, and they would show 12 A. (Hahn) Page 29 of the 2014 report? 12 very low prices in April -- March, April, May, BY MS. ROSS: 13 13 14 O. That's correct. June. You might see another slight price 14 increase in July and August, but not as high as 15 A. (Hahn) I have it. 15 Do you have an updated one based on your 2015 it would be in the winter. And then they'd 16 O. 16 17 climb again. 17 (Hahn) It does not look like we included that I think there has been some criticism by 18 A. 18 Q. same figure in the 2015 update. Non-Advocate Staff of your use of average 19 19 Would it be possible to produce that update? monthly prices, and that's why I'm questioning 20 Q. 20 MR. SPEIDEL: We would object to you a little about whether that's a valid 21 21 that. This witness is Non-Advocate Staff's 22 measure for purposes of forecasting. And I 22 consultant. You can ask questions about the assume the answer to that is yes, that you 23 23

materials that have been produced thus far, but

24

24

consider that valid still?

#### [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 53 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 55 I don't think that there is an ability to 1 case. Just trying to understand what work you 2 request the production of additional materials actually did for the update. 2 3 by our consultant. (Hahn) Well, we did not -- let me be clear. We 3 A. BY MS. ROSS: did not re-perform a simulation or a dispatch. 4 5 Q. May I ask if the LMP forecast was updated as We didn't have time for that. But we believed 5 part of your 2015 update? 6 that by adjusting the 2014 results for the 6 7 A. (Hahn) Yes, but we updated it without rerunning change in natural gas prices that we could 7 the market simulation. We used a manual 8 accurately capture that. So we didn't produce 8 adjustment to the LMPs that were output from 9 this chart. We didn't do all these high and 9 the 2014 study and used a manual procedure to 10 low cases, alternative scenario cases. Made 10 11 adjust those for the lower gas prices. the adjustment. 11 Hypothetically, if you were asked to produce 12 Q. Okay. If you were to do that work, how long 12 Q. 13 that, how long would it take to do it? 13 would that take? 14 A. (Hahn) Not long. But we --14 A. (Hahn) All of the alternative scenarios? 15 Q. Days? Hours? 15 Q. Those would take --16 A. (Hahn) A week. 16 A. (Hahn) That would take a long time. 17 Q. A week. Thank you. 17 Q. Okay. Are there any updates to the Section 5 18 18 A. (Hahn) That would basically require a scenario results? And this is actually in the 19 re-simulation. So, four to six months? Don't 19 market model report, so other parties don't 20 20 hold me to that, but... 21 have it. But Section 5, if you recall, is a That's all right. I'm just trying to get an 21 Q. 22 discussion of your inputs. idea of the work involved. 22 23 A. (Hahn) Do you have a page number, Ms. Ross? On Figure 8, Page 33 of the report we just 23 24 Q. Hold on. I'll get it for you. It's passed out, which is an FCM price forecast --24 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 54 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 56 essentially beginning at Page 63. There's a 1 1 A. (Hahn) Are you referring to the 2015 La Capra series of figures: 5.1, 5.12, 13. They're 2 2 report? just your inputs on -- some of your key inputs 3 2014. Excuse me. Page 33. There's a 3 Q. 4 into the report? Confidential Figure 8. Has that been updated 4 MR. SPEIDEL: Please speak into 5 as part of your 2015 report? 5 the microphone, Ms. Ross. 6 6 (Witness reviews document.) 7 BY MS. ROSS: 7 A. (Hahn) Yes. Q. I'm sorry. It ends on Page 68. So it's Page MS. ROSS: And I guess I would 8 63 to 68 of the Northeast Market Model input. 9 request a copy of that update. 9 10 A. (Hahn) I'm sorry. Is there is a question MR. SPEIDEL: Perhaps we should 10 11 pending? confirm that the FCM price forecast referred to 11 12 Q. Yes. I asked whether any of those inputs had in Figure 8 has indeed been updated in the 2015 12 been updated as part of your 2015 update. 13 report. I think we want to confirm that. 13 14 A. (Hahn) In the 2015 update, we only updated the 14 MR. HAHN: It has, and it's 15 reference case. We didn't do all of these shown in Figure 1 on Page 7 of the 2015 La 15 other scenarios. 16 16 Capra report. 17 Q. But you would have had an update, for instance, 17 BY MS. ROSS: on your reference case for 5.12 -- 5.1.2, and 18 Right. And this just asks for it in table 18 19 the same for your LMP? form, as it was shown in Figure 8 originally. 19 (Hahn) I'm sorry. Can you direct me to where I 20 A. 20 MR. SPEIDEL: Well, again, I should be looking, please? 21 think that would be subject to some discussion 21 22 Q. Yes. On Page 63 you have a reference case on by Non-Advocate Staff with La Capra. It might 22 Figure 5.1.1. So that would have been updated. 23 be a possibility, but it would require our 23 You're saying you only updated your reference 24 authorization.

#### [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 57 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 59 MS. ROSS: All right. 1 Obviously, any request I make would require --2 I understand it will require your 3 authorization. And I'm just making a request 4 on the record, and we don't need to resolve 5 them today. 6 BY MS. ROSS: 7 O. On Page 50 of your 2014 report, there's a figure that talks about the high retirement 9 scenario in the FCM price forecast. Has that 10 data been updated as parts of your 2015 --11 (Hahn) I don't believe so. As I said, we only 12 A. updated the reference case. 13

- 14 Q. And if you were to undertake an update to that particular data, how long would that take? 15
- (Hahn) Well, I'm not sure exactly because that 16 A. would require us rerunning the capacity and 17 price forecasting model. So, at least a month. 18
- 19 O. And then one last question on the report that has not been distributed, which is the
- 20 Northeast Market Model. On Page 60 of that 21
- report --22 23 A. (Hahn) Which page, ma'am?
- 24 O. Sixty, 6-0. It's Table 4.1. It's on

- 1 A. (Hahn) Sure. For the energy price, the retail load, which would be measured at an on-premise 2
- meter, to that figure you would have to add 3
- losses and represent the burden that that 4
- places on the generators. Those numbers are 5
- usually known and usually don't vary from year 6
- to year. So you would need to either gross up 7
- the LMP itself or gross up the kilowatt hours 8
- to be at the generation level, and then you'd 9
- arrive at same revenues. So the LMP can be 10
- used to determine both the wholesale revenues 11 to generators and the retail cost to -- I mean
- 12
- and the load cost to retailers. 13
- 14 Q.
- (Hahn) Now, as far as -- you said capacity was 15 A. your other question? 16
- Yes. 17 O.
- 18 A. (Hahn) There are different capacity prices that
- a generator receives and the load pays 19
- obviously because sometimes ISO-New England 20
- procures more than its installed capacity 21
- requirement in any Forward Capacity auction. 22
- So the way this works is ISO holds an auction. 23
- Generation suppliers that offer capacity and 24

### [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 58

- cumulative retirements. Has that been updated
- as part of your 2015 update? 2
- 3 A. (Hahn) No.
- Q. And again, if you were to undertake that
- update, what kind of time would be required? 5
- (Hahn) Well, I think that would be part of the
- month-long effort to update capacity prices. I 7
- mean, you have to start with a set of 8
- assumptions about what existing units are going
- to be there. 10
- 11 Q. Right.
- 12 A. (Hahn) So we would need to do that.
- Just a question. Earlier when we were talking 13 O.
- about the LMP forecasts that you did, which is 14
- the locational marginal pricing for the New 15
- England region -- and the LMP is a wholesale 16 price, isn't it? 17
- (Hahn) It is, but it determines what is charged 18 A. to load assets or load supplies. 19
- And that was going to be my next question. 20 Q.
- Could you walk through what would be the 21
- appropriate adjustments to make to the LMP and 22
- the Forward Capacity Market prices to arrive at 23 an assumed retail price in New England. 24

- [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 6.
  - bid into the capacity market and succeed are
- awarded a capacity supplier obligation, a 2 certain amount of megawatts, and they get paid
- 3 a rate for that. ISO aggregates all those 4
- payments with generators and needs to collect 5
- that amount from load. So, sometimes there's a 6
- different price, capacity price to load. But 7
- again, it's knowable and it's calculable -- I'm 8 sorry. It's not knowable. But after an
- 9 auction is done, you know how much you need to 10
- collect. So it is knowable. 11
- Okay. I believe you have Mr. Cannata's 12 O.
- testimony in front of you. If you would turn 13
- to Page 8. On Page 8 it discusses --14 (Hahn) Can I have a moment, please, to find 15 A.
- that? All right. I have Page 8 of Mr. 16
- Cannata's testimony. 17
- And on Page 8, he discusses both the ISO-New 18 O.
- England capacity payments made to PSNH on its 19
- generation plants, and he also talks about load 20 obligation payments to ISO made by PSNH as a 21
- result of its role as a supplier of load to its 22
- default service customers. 23
  - My question is: How does your 2014 report

INTINE	CO DANIEL PLOUSE I DIT	H's	Gei	ora Associates - October 26, 2015 neration Assets - Technical Session Deposition
	SS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 6	1 [[V	VITN	neration Assets - Technical Session Deposition IESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 6
1 +	account for both the capacity and load	- 1		2. Right.
2	obligation payments?	14.		
з А.	(Hahn) Well, the 2014 report was focused on	- 1	2 <i>A</i>	(=====) to suppry detault service inau for
4	generator revenues. So the capacity price that		3	which Public Service remains the load asset
5	generator revenues received was a direct and		4 - C	owner?
6	important input into the 2014 La Capra report.	- 1	•	2. No, for which the supplier takes the asset
7	Since that report did not attempt to estimate		5 - ^	obligations.
8	what the cost to load would be, we didn't	- 1	7 A	Concrairy, all wholesale all
9	calculate that. It's calculate-able from that	- 1	3	providers of load, entities that serve load
10	information, but we didn't do it.	- 1	•	their underlying cost are the capacity costs,
11 Q.	Are both the capacity and load obligation	10		the energy costs, and if they are required to
12	payments included in your LMP forecast?	11		pay for any of the ancillary services that ISO
13 A.	(Hahn) Well, the capacity market is separate	12		organizes, all of those costs, including the
14	from the energy market. So there's no	13		load obligation payment would be included in
15	interaction between the two, other than there	14		those rates. There is also likely things like
16	are requirements. For example: If you receive	15		a risk margin, profit margin and things like that.
17	a capacity if you are a generator and			
18	receive a capacity supplier obligation, there	17	•	,
19	are certain requirements, like you must offer	18		In Mr. Cannata's calculation, Table 1 on
20	energy to the energy market. But the	20		Page 10 that you were referred to earlier
21	mechanisms, the market mechanisms are separate	21		scratch that question.
22	and distinct. So you can have a change in	22		I think that's it for the questions that I
23	capacity prices and a change in LMPs, and they	3	Α.	have. Thank you very much.
24	aren't necessarily related. They could be.	24		( " of the control of
				MR. SPEIDEL: Okay, Ms. Ross.
WITNES	S PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 62	[W]	TNE	SS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 64
1	For example: If you added a highly efficient			THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O
	- or oroningle, if you differ a filling efficient			
2	combined cycle unit, a new one, that might	1		Thank you. May we be off the record for just a
2	combined cycle unit, a new one, that might	2		Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?
3	combined cycle unit, a new one, that might especially if it's in a place where capacity is	2		Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)
2 3 4	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high	2 3 4		Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please
2 3 4 5	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity	2 3 4 5		Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to
2 3 4 5 6	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more	2 3 4 5 6		Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the
2 3 4 5 6	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more efficient plant bumped a higher cost unit off	2 3 4 5 6 7		Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the witnesses.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more efficient plant bumped a higher cost unit off the margin.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the witnesses.  MR. BERSAK: Thank you. Do the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q.	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more efficient plant bumped a higher cost unit off the margin.  If one were to look at competitively procured	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the witnesses.  MR. BERSAK: Thank you. Do the witnesses need a break?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q.	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more efficient plant bumped a higher cost unit off the margin.  If one were to look at competitively procured retail rates in New Hampshire, would it be safe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the witnesses.  MR. BERSAK: Thank you. Do the witnesses need a break?  MR. HAHN: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. :	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more efficient plant bumped a higher cost unit off the margin.  If one were to look at competitively procured retail rates in New Hampshire, would it be safe to assume that those rates would include	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the witnesses.  MR. BERSAK: Thank you. Do the witnesses need a break?  MR. HAHN: No.  MR. BERSAK: Great.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more efficient plant bumped a higher cost unit off the margin.  If one were to look at competitively procured retail rates in New Hampshire, would it be safe to assume that those rates would include load-serving entities, load payments at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the witnesses.  MR. BERSAK: Thank you. Do the witnesses need a break?  MR. HAHN: No.  MR. BERSAK: Great.  EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more efficient plant bumped a higher cost unit off the margin.  If one were to look at competitively procured retail rates in New Hampshire, would it be safe to assume that those rates would include load-serving entities, load payments at the wholesale level to ISO-New England?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	В	Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the witnesses.  MR. BERSAK: Thank you. Do the witnesses need a break?  MR. HAHN: No.  MR. BERSAK: Great.  EXAMINATION  Y MR. BERSAK:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. 1 1 2 3 4 A. (	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more efficient plant bumped a higher cost unit off the margin.  If one were to look at competitively procured retail rates in New Hampshire, would it be safe to assume that those rates would include load-serving entities, load payments at the wholesale level to ISO-New England? (Hahn) Are you referring to a third-party	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the witnesses.  MR. BERSAK: Thank you. Do the witnesses need a break?  MR. HAHN: No.  MR. BERSAK: Great.  EXAMINATION  Y MR. BERSAK:  My name is Bob Bersak. I'm an attorney with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. : 1 1 2 3 4 A. (6	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more efficient plant bumped a higher cost unit off the margin.  If one were to look at competitively procured retail rates in New Hampshire, would it be safe to assume that those rates would include load-serving entities, load payments at the wholesale level to ISO-New England?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	В	Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the witnesses.  MR. BERSAK: Thank you. Do the witnesses need a break?  MR. HAHN: No.  MR. BERSAK: Great.  EXAMINATION  Y MR. BERSAK:  My name is Bob Bersak. I'm an attorney with Eversource. I'm sitting at the Eversource
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q 1 1 2 3 4 A. (6 5 6 7	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more efficient plant bumped a higher cost unit off the margin.  If one were to look at competitively procured retail rates in New Hampshire, would it be safe to assume that those rates would include load-serving entities, load payments at the wholesale level to ISO-New England?  (Hahn) Are you referring to a third-party competitive supplier	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	В	Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the witnesses.  MR. BERSAK: Thank you. Do the witnesses need a break?  MR. HAHN: No.  MR. BERSAK: Great.  EXAMINATION  Y MR. BERSAK:  My name is Bob Bersak. I'm an attorney with Eversource. I'm sitting at the Eversource table. I want to thank you both for helping us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more efficient plant bumped a higher cost unit off the margin.  If one were to look at competitively procured retail rates in New Hampshire, would it be safe to assume that those rates would include load-serving entities, load payments at the wholesale level to ISO-New England?  (Hahn) Are you referring to a third-party competitive supplier	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	В	Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the witnesses.  MR. BERSAK: Thank you. Do the witnesses need a break?  MR. HAHN: No.  MR. BERSAK: Great.  EXAMINATION  Y MR. BERSAK:  My name is Bob Bersak. I'm an attorney with Eversource. I'm sitting at the Eversource table. I want to thank you both for helping us with this process, long time coming, and for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. : 1 1 1 2 3 3 4 4 A. (()	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more efficient plant bumped a higher cost unit off the margin.  If one were to look at competitively procured retail rates in New Hampshire, would it be safe to assume that those rates would include load-serving entities, load payments at the wholesale level to ISO-New England?  (Hahn) Are you referring to a third-party competitive supplier Yes.  (Hahn) or Public Service of New Hampshire as a default	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	В	Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the witnesses.  MR. BERSAK: Thank you. Do the witnesses need a break?  MR. HAHN: No.  MR. BERSAK: Great.  EXAMINATION  Y MR. BERSAK:  My name is Bob Bersak. I'm an attorney with Eversource. I'm sitting at the Eversource table. I want to thank you both for helping us with this process, long time coming, and for your perseverance during this unusual procedure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q 1 2 3 4 A. (() 5 6 Q 7 A 8 9 Q	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more efficient plant bumped a higher cost unit off the margin.  If one were to look at competitively procured retail rates in New Hampshire, would it be safe to assume that those rates would include load-serving entities, load payments at the wholesale level to ISO-New England?  (Hahn) Are you referring to a third-party competitive supplier Yes.  (Hahn) or Public Service of New Hampshire as a default Yes, I'm referring now to a third party, where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	В	Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the witnesses.  MR. BERSAK: Thank you. Do the witnesses need a break?  MR. HAHN: No.  MR. BERSAK: Great.  EXAMINATION  Y MR. BERSAK:  My name is Bob Bersak. I'm an attorney with Eversource. I'm sitting at the Eversource table. I want to thank you both for helping us with this process, long time coming, and for your perseverance during this unusual procedure today. I just have a few questions for you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q 1 2 3 4 A. (6 5 6 Q 7 A 8 9 Q	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more efficient plant bumped a higher cost unit off the margin.  If one were to look at competitively procured retail rates in New Hampshire, would it be safe to assume that those rates would include load-serving entities, load payments at the wholesale level to ISO-New England?  (Hahn) Are you referring to a third-party competitive supplier  Yes.  (Hahn) or Public Service of New Hampshire as a default  Yes, I'm referring now to a third party, where  you go out, as a couple of our utilities do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	В	Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the witnesses.  MR. BERSAK: Thank you. Do the witnesses need a break?  MR. HAHN: No.  MR. BERSAK: Great.  EXAMINATION  Y MR. BERSAK:  My name is Bob Bersak. I'm an attorney with Eversource. I'm sitting at the Eversource table. I want to thank you both for helping us with this process, long time coming, and for your perseverance during this unusual procedure today. I just have a few questions for you.  We've referred throughout the questioning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. 1 2 3 4 4 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 Q. 8 9 9 Q. 9 7 7 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more efficient plant bumped a higher cost unit off the margin.  If one were to look at competitively procured retail rates in New Hampshire, would it be safe to assume that those rates would include load-serving entities, load payments at the wholesale level to ISO-New England? (Hahn) Are you referring to a third-party competitive supplier  Yes.  - (Hahn) or Public Service of New Hampshire as a default  Yes, I'm referring now to a third party, where you go out, as a couple of our utilities do, and just issue an RFP: Load following power to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	В	Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the witnesses.  MR. BERSAK: Thank you. Do the witnesses need a break?  MR. HAHN: No.  MR. BERSAK: Great.  EXAMINATION  Y MR. BERSAK:  My name is Bob Bersak. I'm an attorney with Eversource. I'm sitting at the Eversource table. I want to thank you both for helping us with this process, long time coming, and for your perseverance during this unusual procedure today. I just have a few questions for you.  We've referred throughout the questioning this morning to your reports that were done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. 1 1 2 3 4 A. ((5 6 Q. 7 7 A8 9 Q. 1 1 2 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more efficient plant bumped a higher cost unit off the margin.  If one were to look at competitively procured retail rates in New Hampshire, would it be safe to assume that those rates would include load-serving entities, load payments at the wholesale level to ISO-New England?  (Hahn) Are you referring to a third-party competitive supplier Yes.  (Hahn) or Public Service of New Hampshire as a default Yes, I'm referring now to a third party, where you go out, as a couple of our utilities do, and just issue an RFP: Load following power to this class of customers for six months.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	В	Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the witnesses.  MR. BERSAK: Thank you. Do the witnesses need a break?  MR. HAHN: No.  MR. BERSAK: Great.  EXAMINATION  Y MR. BERSAK:  My name is Bob Bersak. I'm an attorney with Eversource. I'm sitting at the Eversource table. I want to thank you both for helping us with this process, long time coming, and for your perseverance during this unusual procedure today. I just have a few questions for you.  We've referred throughout the questioning this morning to your reports that were done back in 2014, as well as an update in 2015
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q 1 2 3 4 A. ( 5 6 Q 7 A 8 9 Q 1 1 an 1 2 an 1 2 3 an 1 2 3 an 1 2 3 an 1 2 3 an 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	especially if it's in a place where capacity is needed, and therefore the FCA clears at a high price, you might get an increase in capacity prices but a decrease in LMPs because the more efficient plant bumped a higher cost unit off the margin.  If one were to look at competitively procured retail rates in New Hampshire, would it be safe to assume that those rates would include load-serving entities, load payments at the wholesale level to ISO-New England? (Hahn) Are you referring to a third-party competitive supplier  Yes.  - (Hahn) or Public Service of New Hampshire as a default  Yes, I'm referring now to a third party, where you go out, as a couple of our utilities do, and just issue an RFP: Load following power to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	В	Thank you. May we be off the record for just a quick second?  (Discussion off the record.)  MR. SPEIDEL: May we please return to the record. I would now like to invite the Company to ask questions of the witnesses.  MR. BERSAK: Thank you. Do the witnesses need a break?  MR. HAHN: No.  MR. BERSAK: Great.  EXAMINATION  Y MR. BERSAK:  My name is Bob Bersak. I'm an attorney with Eversource. I'm sitting at the Eversource table. I want to thank you both for helping us with this process, long time coming, and for your perseverance during this unusual procedure today. I just have a few questions for you.  We've referred throughout the questioning this morning to your reports that were done

[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 65 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 67 1 Q. Are you aware that, earlier in October, that purpose of the reports was to come up with an the Pine Tree Bethlehem biomass-fired plant 2 estimate of the value of PSNH's generating 2 here in New Hampshire had a catastrophic assets which may be divested sometime in the 3 3 failure? future: is that correct? 4 4 5 A. (Hahn) I was not aware of that. 5 A. (Hahn) That's correct. O. And that event also occurred after your O. And as part of that valuation you did an August 17 update. So is that event included in update, and that update from 2015 was dated 7 your analysis? August 17; is that correct? 8 8 A. (Hahn) Well, since I don't know anything about A. (Hahn) 2015. That's correct. 9 9 it, I highly doubt it. But --10 O. The 2015 was done August 17 of 2015. 10 Okay. I'll accept that. Now, I assume that both you, Mr. Hahn, and 11 Q. 11 Last winter, are you aware that energy you, Mr. Koehler, are aware of the announced 12 12 prices in New England were moderated because of shutdown of the Pilgrim Nuclear Station; is 13 13 the availability of a LNG barge that was tanked 14 that correct? 14 off the coast of Boston? 15 15 A. (Hahn) Yes, sir. 16 A. (Hahn) I recall something to that effect. 16 Q. And that announcement by its owner occurred But in your update from 2015, is it correct less than two weeks ago, on October 23rd. So, 17 17 that we see that gas prices this year are lower would that have been included in your August 17 18 18 than they were last year? update, the impacts of the shutdown of Pilgrim? 19 19 (Hahn) Yes. That's what market forwards are 20 A. (Hahn) No. 20 A. telling us. 21 Q. Do you have any idea exactly when Pilgrim will 21 With lower gas prices, do we know at this time 22 O. be shut down? 22 whether the owner of a barge full of LNG will (Hahn) Only what I read in The Boston Globe, 23 23 A. park that barge in New England or whether which is by 2021. I'm not sure of the date. 24 24 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 6. WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 66 they'll sail it somewhere else where they can 1 1 Q. We don't know. get better prices for their gas this year? 2 A. (Hahn) I have no insider information as to what 2 MR. SPEIDEL: Mr. Bersak, just a 3 entity will do with Pilgrim. moment. I think that's a little bit too Possible that they could shut down sooner if 4 4 they buy into the reconfiguration auctions to speculative. 5 5 MR. BERSAK: The entire report deal with their capacity obligations; is that 6 6 is speculative. We're guessing as to what 7 correct? 7 prices will be in the future. I'm just trying A. (Hahn) Sure, they can retire -- well, my only 8 8 to probe as to how correct these prices will be hesitation is I actually don't know what the 9 9 when we actually get to a divestiture. status of their retirement request is with ISO. 10 10 MR. SPEIDEL: Well, Mr. Hahn is So they can't just shut down. They need to ask 11 11 not an LNG shipper. Mr. Hahn is a consultant. ISO-New England for approval and to -- I do not 12 12 You can phrase it in terms of, "Do you expect know what the status of that request is, 13 13 that there could be fluctuations in the LNG whether it's been made, whether ISO has granted 14 14 market?" something along those lines. But to it, whether ISO has granted it with conditions. 15 15 ask him, well, if a specific barge floating in 16 I don't know that. 16 Boston Harbor... that's a very speculative So we really don't know when Pilgrim will shut 17 0. 17 question. down at this point, do we? 18 18 MR. BERSAK: Okay. I'll change A. (Hahn) I don't believe so, no. 19 19 20 Q. Will the Pilgrim shutdown have an impact on the the question. 20 BY MR. BERSAK: energy and capacity markets that you discussed 21 Q. How about if LNG is not available this winter?

Will that affect energy prices in New England?

24 A. (Hahn) Certainly could. Although, in this

22

23

22

24

23 A.

earlier today?

being equal, yes.

(Hahn) Certainly could, you know, all else

#### [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 69 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 71 morning's Globe, since we're referencing Globe 1 that. If I were, I'd be doing other things and articles about Pilgrim, we can reference the 2 making a lot more money, but --2 article about the availability of additional 3 We have forecasts from La Capra that you two 3 Q. LNG to come into the Distrigas LNG facility in 4 experts were involved in preparing, we have 4 Everett due to a bunch of factors, not the 5 testimony from Mr. Cannata and from Mr. Chung, 5 least of which is low worldwide demand for LNG. 6 all of which are forecasting or guessing at 6 So, sure. I mean, there's some 7 what's going to happen in the future; is that 7 uncertainty about some of these forecasts. But 8 8 correct? you try to do the best job that you can using 9 9 A. (Hahn) I don't agree with the word "guessing." the best available information at the time you 10 It's a forecast based on market information 10 made it. 11 that we have. That's the best intelligence we 11 12 Q. Exactly. But I think we both agree that the have. Is it perfect? No. Is it guaranteed to 12 market is volatile that we're dealing with and 13 be precisely accurate to the dollar? No. But 13 constantly changing. Do you agree with that? 14 it's a reasonable forecast. 14 15 A. (Hahn) Sure. Would you agree that the only way to precisely 15 Q. 16 Q. If you were to redo your August 17th, 2015 determine what the generating assets that the 16 update today, would the values potentially be 17 Company owns would be following a divestiture 17 different in what you have in that update? 18 process is to actually go through that process 18 (Hahn) They could. I haven't done it, so I 19 A. 19 and receive bids? don't know exactly for sure. But it's a 20 That will tell you how big a check somebody's 20 A. possibility. It's possible they could go up. 21 willing to write. Yes, sir, it would. 21 22 It's possible they could go down. Do you recall some questions that Attorney 22 O. Do you deem the values that you put in both 23 Q. Sheehan from the Non-Advocate Staff asked you 23 your 2014 report and your updated 2015 report regarding Mr. Chung's testimony? 24 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 70 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 72 to represent exactly what a willing bidder 1 1 A. (Hahn) Yes, sir. would pay for each of the generating stations 2 Do you recall those questions were primarily following a divestiture process? 3 directed at whether portions of his testimony 3 4 A. (Hahn) Exactly -correctly refer to La Capra Associates reports 4 5 Q. Yes. as the source of the information or whether it 5 6 A. -- (Hahn) what somebody would write a check should have been Staff reports? for? It's my best estimate of what that would 7 A. (Hahn) I recall those questions, sir. be. But do I know precisely who the bidders 8 8 Q. Do you have a copy of the April 1 Staff report are and how much bigger check they're going to 9 9 available? 10 write? No. sir. A. (Hahn) I do. Give me a moment to locate it. I 10 11 Q. So a willing bidder could pay less than what 11 believe I have it. you've put in for a valuation for a particular 12 12 Q. Towards the bottom half of that, "Prepared by 13 plant. Staff of the New Hampshire Public Utilities 13 14 A. (Hahn) Could pay a lot more, too. Commission" in big print, and then following 14 15 Q. Could pay more. that next in big print is "La Capra 15 16 And even if you were to update your Associates," and then the next one is "ESS 16 report, as Attorney Ross was probing, would 17 17 Group"; is that correct? your updated report provide values that would 18 18 A. (Hahn) Yes. be exactly what a willing bidder would pay for 19 Is there potential for some confusion about the 19 Q. 20 each of the assets? way this report is captioned that could be the 20 (Hahn) Well, any valuation exercise is a 21 A. basis as to why Mr. Chung, in his testimony, 21 forecast. Forecasts don't always predict the 22 referred to the information coming from La 22 future with a hundred-percent accuracy. I 23 23 Capra? don't know anybody that's good enough to do 24 24 A. (Hahn) I think that's a possibility.

Richard Hahn and Daniel Koehler - La DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSNH's	Generation Assets - Technical Session Deposition
[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERPage 73	[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 75
<ol> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe that Mr.</li> <li>Chung intended to mislead the Commission or parties to this proceeding?</li> <li>A. (Hahn) I have no reason for such a belief.</li> <li>Q. One or two final questions.</li> </ol>	same, is that correct, between the two reports?  A. (Hahn) Correct. It was a dispatch of all units  in New England, not just Eversource. But yes,  you're correct.  Q. So that included Eversource.
6 MR. SHEEHAN: Bob, nor do we, 7 just to be clear. That was not the suggestion 8 of that question at all. 9 MR. BERSAK: I just want to make 10 sure. I've got a nice witness sitting next to 11 me. I just want to make sure you're not trying	6 A. (Hahn) Yes, it did. 7 Q. In making the dispatch numbers, did you use any historical data, or was it all forecasted data? 9 A. (Hahn) Well, we actually began when we did the 2014 study, we were doing an evaluation of the assets as of November 1st, 2015; however, we began our simulations of the ISO-New England
to impugn what he has to say.  BY MR. BERSAK:  Attorney Ross asked you about some questions about load-serving entities, you know, if they were to take over the obligation to serve  PSNH's customers following a divestiture process. And regardless of who that willing bidder is who takes on PSNH's load, is it your testimony or did you say some of that incremental costs for that load-serving entity, whoever it is at the margin, would be dictated by the market for both energy and capacity?	market on January 1st, 2013. So we did this study in the last quarter of 2013 and then into the first quarter of 2014. So we did a simulation, and we had some actual data that we could compare it to as a benchmark.  18 Q. Okay. And that data you received from ISO-New England?  20 A. (Hahn) Well, the  21 Q. Actual data of dispatch.  22 A. (Hahn) The actual megawatt hours is available through various sources, the Energy Information Administration. We subscribe to various data
MITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERPage 74  1 A. (Hahn) I believe that if you were to go out for  2 bids to suppliers, to be the supplier of Public  3 Service of New Hampshire's standard offer of  4 default service, that the bids that you would  5 receive would be based on the outlook at the  6 time those bids are prepared for capacity  7 markets and energy markets. Yes, sir.  8 Q. Thank you, Mr. Hahn. Thank you, Mr. Koehler  9 MR. BERSAK: We have no other  10 questions, Mr. Speidel.  11 MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you very  12 much, Mr. Bersak.  13 I would like to open the floor	sources that have things like, you know, megawatt-hour output. So it doesn't come from ISO. It comes from a variety of industry sources that we use. Q. It's either publicly available or available by subscription? Is that fair? A. (Hahn) Yes, that's correct. Q. Thank you. That's all I have. MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you, Ms. Chamberlain. I'd like to open the floor to the Office of Energy and Planning. Mr. Aslin. MR. ASLIN: Thank you, Mr.
to the Office of the Consumer Advocate, based on the ordering of witnesses. Ms. Chamberlain	1 10 1

on the ordering of witnesses. Ms. Chamberlain. MS. CHAMBERLIN: Thank you. I just have a couple of questions. **EXAMINATION** 

BY MS. CHAMBERLIN:

20 Q. Early in your testimony you were discussing which elements you updated and which elements 21 you kept the same between the 2014 and the 2015 22 reports. And one of the elements was that you 23 kept the dispatch of the Eversource units the 24

First, I wanted to ask if procedurally we could mark the various documents that Mr. Hahn has been referring to as exhibits to this tech session, just for clarity of the record. I think we could dispense with that for testimony. But the three things we were talking about so far are the 2014 La Capra report, the 2014 Staff report, and the 2015 update.

MR. SPEIDEL: And the results of

16

17

18

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

	Richard Hahn and Daniel Koehler - DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSNI NESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERBOOK	La Caj T's Ge	pra Associates - October 26, 2015
[WIT	NESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 7	7 [WITI	NESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHM and DANIEL (COMME
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the model explanation. That was the fourth document that I think has been discussed today. And I believe that Ms. Ross proposed that the 2014 La Capra report in its confidential form be marked as an exhibit. So that might be a good starting point as Exhibit 1, but as Confidential Exhibit 1. And then the 2015 La Capra update now, as I recall, and perhaps Mr. Hahn can attest to this.  Has there been a so-called "public redacted version" of that 2015 update produced?  MR. HAHN: I'm sorry. I was consulting with Mr. Koehler. Could you hit me with that one again?  MR. SPEIDEL: Sure. The 2015 La Capra update, is there a redacted version and an unredacted version that is, a confidential and a public version that have been produced for that?  MR. HAHN: Yes.  MR. SPEIDEL: So I would suggest that the confidential version be marked as	7 [WITI 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that's a numbering scheme that could make some sense. So, did everyone get that?  MR. AALTO: Which one was one?  MR. SPEIDEL: The Public or  Confidential?  MR. AALTO: Confidential 1.  MR. SPEIDEL: Confidential 1 was the unredacted version of the 2014 La Capra report.  (Discussion among Non-Advocate Staff.)  MR. SPEIDEL: Oh, I referred to that as Confidential Exhibit 3, for what it's worth, at least to keep it in mind.  Now, this numbering scheme is designed to help us keep track of what we're referring to. It's not official. It's essentially just for the purposes of today's session we're concurring with this numbering scheme. And there is going to have to be an offer of proof at hearing to have them incorporated into the hearing record at hearing. So I accept Mr. Aslin's suggestion, insofar as it helps us keep track of what the
24	Confidential Exhibit 2 for the 2015 La Capra	24	heck we're referring to. But once we get to
[WITNE 1 2 3 4 5	update. That is the confidential version. And then we have the market model explanation. And again I'm using a paraphrase, but I think we know what I'm referring to. And that would be Confidential Exhibit 3.	[WITNE 1 2 3 4 5	hearing, there's going to be a necessity to actually introduce them into the hearing record. Okay? Is there general assent on that point?  MS. ROSS: Just a clarification.
ъ 7 8	Then we would have two or three, actually, public exhibits. Public Exhibit 1	6 7	The 2014 Staff report, I would suggest we number it as Exhibit 4, because we have a

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

actually, public exhibits. Public Exhibit 1 would be the 2014 La Capra report in its redacted version. And then Public Exhibit 2 would be the 2015 La Capra update that's redacted --

11 12 MR. ASLIN: Alex, do you think it makes sense to do them consecutively 13

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

numbered, the public --(Court Reporter interrupts.)

MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in our practice we like to label them as "Confidential" versus "Public." I think it makes things simpler, and it alerts the Commission to the existence of the

20 21 confidentiality motion. 22

So the final Public exhibit would be the so-called "Staff report of 2014," and that would be Public Exhibit 3. So I think number it as Exhibit 4, because we have a Confidential Exhibit 3, which is the model, and I think you had proposed to also number it Exhibit 3. But then you have two Exhibit 3s that really are referring to different documents.

MR. SPEIDEL: Well, it's kind of the trick because you have the reference to the Confidential exhibits, and then you have the Public exhibits.

MS. ROSS: So you're going to leave it as Public Exhibit 3 then?

MR. SPEIDEL: For the purposes of this session, just for the simple reason that we need to understand whether the materials we're referring to are confidential or not confidential. It's going to help us out on the written record.

[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 81 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 83 1 MS. ROSS: Okay. 1 MR. SPEIDEL: Because if there 2 2 are just a bunch of numbers, consecutive 3 3 numbers, we're not going to be able to tell 4 4 which is confidential and which isn't. Okay? 5 All right. So, Mr. Aslin, would 6 you like to take the floor? 7 MR. ASLIN: Yes. Thank you, Mr. 8 8 9 Speidel. 9 10 **EXAMINATION** 10 11 BY MR. ASLIN: 11 Mr. Hahn and Mr. Koehler, thank you for being 12 12 here today and sitting through the tech 13 13 session. I only have a couple questions to add 14 14 on to what has already been discussed. The 15 15 our 2015 update. primary question is: In your 2015 update, as I 16 16 understand it, you essentially updated capacity 17 Q. 17 and energy forecasts, and that was it? 18 18 19 (Hahn) Yes. 19 A. 20 What other inputs would you update -- and 20 O. there's probably many -- but what are the next analysis? 21 21 two or three most important factors that would 22 A. 22 go into a full update that would address 23 23 valuation of generation assets? 24 24 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 82 1

updates, did those updates take into account

current information about expected retirements

expected new generation that's coming into --

may come into the region?

5 A. (Hahn) That we knew at the time, sir.

6 Q. At the time of the update.

(Hahn) At the time of the update. So if that was August, you know, whatever we knew as of

August 1st, which is probably the date that

we -- approximate date that we did the update, you know, we had FCA 9 as a known number. We

knew what was bid. We knew what qualified.

I'm sure there was some capacity that was

removed and there was some capacity that was added. But all of that would be included in

In regard to the energy portion of your update, expected future transmission from Canada and/or

gas or gas pipelines, would those factors have been something that changed since 2014 in your

(Hahn) Well, we did assume a generic Canadian import into the original 2014 model. In 2015,

you know, we decided to update for that. Could

1 A. (Hahn) Well, we might have asked the Company to -- scratch that. 2

During our initial 2014 report, the Company provided us with a bunch of its confidential data on the plants: How they're run, what it costs to run them, what their expected investments would be in the future. We could probably update that. I don't know whether those have changed or not. I would not expect them to have anywhere near the impact that capacity and energy market prices would have. But I mean that's -- if we were doing a new study today, we'd certainly ask for that.

I mean, there could be a change in the New England generation mix. We heard talk about Pilgrim this morning; so, maybe Pilgrim's departure. We also see talk of the Tri-State Clean Energy RFP. That could have an impact on this. So I guess we were aware that there may be other factors, but we certainly believed that these two captured by far the lion's share of the change that occurred from our original report to our updated report.

23 24 Q. And in regard to your capacity forecast [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age &

it be higher or lower? Sure. You know, the

Clean Energy RFP was talked about back then but 2

was not as far along as it is today. It's now 3

been approved by the Rhode Island Commission. 4

And if it hasn't been already approved by 5

Massachusetts and Connecticut, it will be soon. 6 7

So that has the potential to bring in a large

amount of renewable imports into New England. 8

But those potential changes were not something 9 Q. that was updated between the 2014 and 2015 10

report? 11

(Hahn) No. As I said, we offered or discussed 12 A.

with Staff two options: One is a full-blown 13

update which would have captured all the things 14

that we're talking about, or we could do sort 15

of an incremental analysis based on the two 16

biggest changes that I think anybody could 17

think of. And given the time constraints, I 18

think we opted for the shorter study as opposed 19 to the longer study. 20

With regard to gas price forecasts between 2014 21 Q.

and the 2015 update, had there been -- within 22 the gas price forecast, does that incorporate

23 current information regarding potential 24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSNNT: Generation Assets Technical Session Deposition (WITNESS PANEL RICHARD HANN and DANIEL KOEHLERge 81) pipelines, as well as how that would affect the energy prices potentially?  3. A. (Hahn) What would give you the best impact of the outlook for natural gas prices are the market forward prices. You can read reports.  But at the end of the day, those are?  7. exchange-traded transactions for real deals.  8. And that indicated to us that prices — the expectation for future prices would decline.  10. So that's what we saw in our 2015 update, and that what we saw in our 2015 update, and that what we saw in our 2015 update, and that exists in the market, which would include presumably the potential for additional gas capacity.  12. A. (Hahn) Yes. Absolutely.  13. Q. Tow that extent did environmental regulations, or expected environmental regulation in did very the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power Plan and other expected corporation or expected environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  13. A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to	DATE	DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSN	- La H's	G	ipra Associates - October 26, 2015 eneration Assets - Technical Session Bonocition
consultant. Mr. Hahn and his associate, M. Koehler, are very intelligent men, but I don't think it expressly included the two understanding that it did reflect sort of the compliance with the various renewable portfolio standards and price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  A. (Koehler) Correct.  Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other impact of updating you	FAALLY	NESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age	35	[Wi]	TNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOELLER
2 A. (Hahm) What would give you the best impact of the outlook for natural gas prices are the market forward prices. You can read reports. But at the end of the day, those are exchange-traded transactions for real deals. And that indicated to us that prices - the expectation for future prices would decline. So that's what we saw in our 2015 update, and that's what we still see, even if I did it today.  10 Q. And those updates incorporate all the knowledge that exists in the market, which would include presumably the potential for additional gas capacity.  11 A. (Hahm) Was. Absolutely.  12 To what extent did environmental regulations, play a role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update?  22 A. (Hahm) Our the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance of the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power plan and with the various environmental laws. I offer the possibility of future regulation of price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of based on the current Clean Power Plan I way just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I and understand is something like a thousand-plus was proved that what your question deals work plan in understand is something like a thousand-plus was capacity.  12 A. (Hahm) Our the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power Plan Since Plan I was plan to the plan that the various environmental pregulation of caphon. Plan I was plan to the plan that the plan that the plan that th	1	pipelines, as well as how that would affect the			
A (Hahm) What would give you the best impact of the outlook for natural gas prices are the market forward prices. You can read reports. But at the end of the day, those are expectation for future prices would decline. So that's what we still see, even if I did it today.  13 Q. And those updates incorporate all the knowledge that exists in the market, which would include presumably the potential for additional gas capacity.  14 Q. And those updates incorporate all the knowledge that exists in the market, which would include presumably the potential for additional gas capacity.  14 Q. To what extent did environmental regulations, play a role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update?  22 A. (Hahn) Our the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power Plan and other environmental regulation of orabon.  3 A. (Koehler) if I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  4 Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  5 A. (Koehler) if I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  5 MR. SPEIDEL: Hat isif 1 may be added the environmental regulation of carbon.  4 Do what was such assumed future carbon price, which was not based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  5 MR. SPEIDEL: That isif 1 may but object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I and derstand is something like a thousand-plus broader of the collegation of carbon.  4 Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would	2	energy prices potentially?			consultant. Mr. Hahn and his associate, Mr.
the outlook for natural gas prices are the market forward prices. You can read reports. But at the end of the day, those are exchange-traded transactions for real deals. And that indicated to us that prices — the expectation for future prices would decline. So that's what we saw in our 2015 update, and that what we still see, even if I did it today.  And those updates incorporate all the knowledge that exists in the market, which would include presumably the potential for additional gas capacity. To what extent did environmental regulations, or expected environmental regulations, play a role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update? A. (Hahn) Our — the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation of carbon.  A. (Koehler) Grrect. A. (Koehler) Correct. A. (So that's the CO2 pricing. A. (Koehler) Correct. A. (So that's the CO2 pricing. A. (Koehler) Correct. A. (D. you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  A. (So that's the CO2 pricing. A. (See Cheler) Correct. A. (So that's the CO2 pricing. A. (See Cheler) Correct. A. (So that's the CO2 pricing. A. (See Cheler) Correct. A.	3 A	A. (Hahn) What would give you the best		2	Koenier, are very intelligent men, but I don't
but at the end of the day, those are exchange-traded transactions for real deals. And that indicated to us that prices — the expectation for future prices would decline. So that's what we saw in our 2015 update, and that's what we saw in our 2015 update, and that's what we still see, even if I did it today.  13 Q. And those updates incorporate all the knowledge that exists in the market, which would include presumably the potential for additional gas capacity.  14 Q. To what extent did environmental regulations, or expected environmental regulations, play a role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when your did your update?  13 A. (Hahn) Our — the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws, I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the BPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the times. We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  24 A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  25 A. (Koehler) Correct.  26 D. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be impact of updating your v	i	the outlook for natural case mile and the		3	think they have the number-crinching power of
But at the end of the day, those are  exchange-traded transactions for real deals. And that indicated to us that prices — the expectation for future prices would decline. So that's what we saw in our 2015 update, and that's what we saw in our 2015 update, and that's what we still see, even if I did it today.  I Q. And those updates incorporate all the knowledge that exists in the market, which would include presumably the potential for additional gas capacity.  I A. (Hahn) Yes. Absolutely.  I To what extent did environmental regulations, play a role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update?  I A. (Hahn) Our — the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance  WITINESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERFlage 86 with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time.  We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did slaso incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Capra word with decline.  SPAMEL RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERFlage 86  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, It hink you would have to understand that whatever ans you receive is extremely speculative. We're willing to allow some level of speculation to the same question.  MR. SPEIDEL Well, In think you would have to understand that whatever ans you receive is extremely speculative. We're willing to allow some level of speculation to the same question.  MR. SPEIDEL Well, I think ow on the same question.  We're sell question.  MR. ASLIN: Certain	5	market forward prices. We want to		4	IDIVI'S Watson on hand. So, perhaps a more
exchange-traded transactions for real deals. And that indicated to us that prices — the expectation for future prices would decline. So that's what we saw in our 2015 update, and that's what we still see, even if I did it today.  13 Q. And those updates incorporate all the knowledge that exists in the market, which would include presumably the potential for additional gas capacity, A. (Hahn) Yes. Absolutely.  16 Q. To what extent did environmental regulations, or expected environmental regulations, play a role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update?  22 A. (Hahn) Our — the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power Plan. But the various environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study. A (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  4 Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  5 A. (Koehler) Correct.  6 Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental fagulations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental programs where the proper program the EPA has since issued.  9 Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental cleanges that have taken place?  10 MR. SPEIDEL: That is — if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I and other environmental program, the First threshold is push your asset valuations?  12 MR. ASLIN: Well, It shink to have twe still guestion.  13 MR. SPEIDEL: Well, it think to have twe still guestion. The Clean Power Plan I and other environ	_	But at the end of the state of		5	narrowly tailored question. Mr. Aslin?
And that indicated to us that prices — the expectation for future prices would decline. So that's what we saw in our 2015 update, and that's what we still see, even if I did it today.  Q. And those updates incorporate all the knowledge that exists in the market, which would include presumably the potential for additional gas capacity.  And those updates incorporate all the knowledge that exists in the market, which would include presumably the potential for additional gas capacity.  A Q. To what extent did environmental regulations, or expected environmental regulations, play a role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update?  A (Hahn) Our — the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power Plan. But the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study. We didn't update that in the 2015 study. We didn't update that in the 2015 study. A (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did slos incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  A Q. So that's the CO2 pricing. A (Koehler) Correct.  Q Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  A R. SEPEIDEL: That is — if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus and that the push your asset valuations?  A professional profession in the conditional pass and the profession in the conditional pass and the profession profession profession profession	_	exchange traded to the day, those are		6	MR. ASLIN: Certainly
And than indicated to us that prices — the sexpectation for future prices would decline. So that's what we saw in our 2015 update, and that's what we saw in our 2015 update, and that's what we still see, even if I did it today.  3 And those updates incorporate all the knowledge that exists in the market, which would include presumably the potential for additional gas capacity.  4 A. (Hahn) Yes. Absolutely.  5 Or what extent did environmental regulations, or expected environmental regulations, play a role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update?  3 A. (Hahn) Our — the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance  WITINESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERFepe 86  WITINESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOE		And the stranger transactions for real deals.		7	BY MR. ASLIN:
So that's what we saw in our 2015 update, and that's what we still see, even if I did it today.  And those updates incorporate all the knowledge that exists in the market, which would include presumably the potential for additional gas capacity.  And those updates incorporate all the knowledge that exists in the market, which would include presumably the potential for additional gas capacity.  A (Hahm) Yes. Absolutely.  19 Q. To what extent did environmental regulations, play a role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update?  23 A. (Hahm) Our the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  A (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  A (Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. ASLIN: Well, no, it's a more detailed question.  MR. ASLIN: well, no, it's a more detailed question.  MR. ASLIN: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. ASLIN: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. ASLIN: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. ASLIN: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. ASLIN: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. ASLIN: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. ASLIN: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. ASLIN: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. ASLIN: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. ASLIN: Well, no, it's a more detailed question.  MR. AS		And that indicated to us that prices the		8	
that's what we still see, even if I did it today.  13 Q. And those updates incorporate all the knowledge that exists in the market, which would include presumably the potential for additional gas capacity.  14 And those updates incorporate all the knowledge that exists in the market, which would include presumably the potential for additional gas capacity.  15 A. (Hahm) Yes. Absolutely.  16 Q. To what extent did environmental regulations, play a role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update?  12 and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update?  13 A. (Hahm) Our the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance  14 with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time.  15 A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  16 Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I may interest and its a thousand-plus.  18 Jeruse of the compliance with the state of environmental changes that have taken place?  19 MR. ASLIN: Well, no, it's a more detailed question.  10 MR. SPEIDEL: Well, I think you would have to understand that whatever ans you receive is extremely speculative. We're willing to allow some level of speculation at technical session, with the understanding that it doesn't have a lot of analytical consideration behind it. I think Mr. Hahn had an understanding and an educated guess of will the state of environmental regulations. I we there is an underst	1	expectation for future prices would decline.		9	regulations for 2020. What impact would
today.  And those updates incorporate all the knowledge that texists in the market, which would include presumably the potential for additional gas capacity.  To what extent did environmental regulations, or expected environmental regulations, play a role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update?  And (Hahn) Our the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  A. (Koehler) Correct.  Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, I think you would have to understand that whatever ans you receive is extremely speculation. Were willing to allow some level of speculation. WR. SPEIDEL: Well, I think you would have to understand that whatever ans you receive is extremely speculation. Were willing to allow some level of speculation at technical session, with the understanding that it would be lons denoted that an understanding and an educated guess of will tonsicate the North Hanham and DANIEL KOEHLERFage 86 (MTNSSPEIDEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERFage 86 (MTNSSPEIDEL: Well, I think you would have to understand that whatever ans you receive is extremely speculation. We're willing to allow some level of speculation. We're willing to allow some level of speculation at technical session, with the und	1	So that's what we saw in our 2015 update, and	1	.0	that would you expect that to have in the
MR. SPEIDEL: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, it is kind of the same question.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, it's kind of the same question.  MR. ASLIN: Well no, it's a more detailed question.  MR. ASLIN: Well no, it's a more detailed question.  MR. ASLIN: Well no, it's a more detailed question.  MR. ASLIN: Well n	i	that's what we still see, even if I did it	1	.1	valuations?
that those updates incorporate all the knowledge that texists in the market, which would include presumably the potential for additional gas capacity.  16	Į.		1	.2	
that exists in the market, which would include processing by the potential for additional gas capacity.  A. (Hahn) Yes. Absolutely.  To what extent did environmental regulations, or expected environmental regulations, play a role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update?  A. (Hahn) Our the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon opinice, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  A. (Koehler) Correct.  Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, I think you would have to understand that whatever ans you receive is extremely speculation at technical session, with the understanding that it chemical session, with the understanding that it chemical session, with the understanding that it chemical session, with the understanding and an educated guess of well it deem't have a lot of analytical consideration behind it. I think Mr. Hahn had an understanding and an educated guess of we might come out of the Clean Power Plan. But there's many moving parts in play there.  MR. ASLIN: Well, no, it's a more detailed question.  MR. SPEIDEL: Rel-Rage 86  [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER 969 86  [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER 969 86  MR. ASLIN: We're willing to allow sond level of speculation at technical session, with th	`	And those updates incorporate all the knowledge	1		the same question
presumably the potential for additional gas 16 capacity. 17 A. (Hahn) Yes. Absolutely. 18 Q. To what extent did environmental regulations, or expected environmental regulations, play a role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update? 22 A. (Hahn) Our the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study. 8 A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon. 4 Q. So that's the CO2 pricing. 5 A. (Koehler) Correct. 6 Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan I may just object. That is if I may just object. That is if I may just object. That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question.  10 MR. SPEIDEL: Well, it think you would have to understand that whatever ans you receive is extremely speculations at technical session, with the understanding that it doesn't have a lot of analytical consideration behind it. I think Mr. Hahn had an understanding and an educated guess of w might come out of the Clean Power Plan. But the various environmental laws. I and understanding and an educated guess of w might come out of the Clean Power Plan But it doesn't have a lot of analytical consideration behind it. I think Mr. Hahn had an understanding and an educated guess of w might come out of the Clean Pow		that exists in the market, which would include	- 1		
To what extent did environmental regulations, play a role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update?  A. (Hahn) Our - the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance  WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERFage 86  With the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  A. Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  A. (Koehler) Correct.  Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, I think you would have to understand that whatever ans you receive is extremely speculation at technical session, with the understanding that it doesn't have a lot of analytical consideration behind it. I think Mr. Hahn had an understanding that it doesn't have a lot of analytical consideration behind it. I think Mr. Hahn had an understanding and an educated guess of winght come out of the Clean Power Plan. But there's many moving parts in play there.  MR. SPEIDEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERFage 86  MITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERFage 86  MITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERFage 86  MITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERFage 86  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in think it doesn't have a lot of analytical consideration behind it. I think Mr. Hahn had an understanding that it doesn't have a lot of analytical consideration behind it. I think Mr. Hahn had understanding that it doesn't hav		presumably the potential for additional gas	- (		more detailed question
17 A. (Hann) Yes. Absolutely.  18 Q. To what extent did environmental regulations, or expected environmental regulations, play a role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update?  23 A. (Hahn) Our the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time.  We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  8 A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  4 Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  5 A. (Koehler) Correct.  6 Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus and will have to understand that whatever ans you receive is extremely speculative. We're willing to allow some level of speculation at technical session, with the understanding that it doesn't have a lot of analytical consideration behind it. I think Mr. Hahn ha an understanding and an educated guess of willing to allow some level of speculation at technical session, with the understanding tha it doesn't have a lot of analytical consideration behind it. I think Mr. Hahn ha an understanding and an educated guess of willing to allows one level of speculation at the dimentary and with the various environmental laws. I willing to allows one level of speculation at the dimentary and understanding and an educated guess of willing to allows. I will		capacity.	- 1		MD CDEIDEL HAVE THE
or expected environmental regulations, play a role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update?  3 A. (Hahn) Our the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  4 Q. So that's the CO2 pricing. 5 A. (Koehler) Correct. 6 Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus your valuations deals understand is something like a thousand-plus and other reference model that we used technical session, with the understanding session, with the understanding session, with the understanding and an educated guess of w might come out of the Clean Power Plan. But there's many moving parts in play there.  MR. ASLIN: I'd respectfully agree that you can make an estimate of directionality. That's all I was asking.  MR. SPEIDEL: We'll in terms of a general trend in directionality, with the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  MR. SPEIDEL: We'll.  MR. ASLIN: I'd respectfully agree that you can make an estimate of wither theme.  MR. SPEIDEL: We'll.  MR. ASLIN: I'd respectfully agree that you can make an estimate of directionality. That's all I was asking.  MR. SPEIDEL: We'll.  MR. ASL		(Hahn) Yes. Absolutely.	- 1		would have to understand the
or expected environmental regulations, play a role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update?  23 A. (Hahn) Our the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  A. (Koehler) Correct.  Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  willing to allow some level of speculation at technical session, with the understanding that it doesn't have a lot of analytical consideration behind it. I think Mr. Hahn ha an understanding and an educated guess of v might come out of the Clean Power Plan. But there's many moving parts in play there.  MR. ASLIN: I'd respectfully agree that you can make an estimate of directionality. That's all I was asking.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in terms of a general trend in directionality, with the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in terms of a general trend in directionality, with the understanding that it doesn't have a lot of analytical consideration behind it. I think Mr. Hahn ha an understanding and an educated guess of v might come out of the Clean Power Plan. But there's many moving part	-	To what extent did environmental regulations.			Volumesia is an analysis of the whatever answer
role in your valuation of the assets in 2014, and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update?  23 A. (Hahn) Our the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance  WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLEFFege 86  With the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time.  We didn't update that in the 2015 study. A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  Q. So that's the CO2 pricing. A. (Koehler) Correct. C. Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is a rif I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something it it doesn't have a lot of analytical consideration behind it. I think Mr. Hahn had an understanding and an educated guess of w might come out of the Clean Power Plan. But there's many moving parts in play there.  MR. ASLIN:  MR.		or expected environmental regulations play a	- 1		willing to all and a line willing to all and a line willing to all and a line will be a line wil
and did that aspect change in any way when you did your update?  21	20	role in your valuation of the assets in 2014	10		technical array
did your update?  A. (Hahn) Our the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance  WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLEFFage 86  with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  8 A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  9 Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understandis something like a thousand-plus  22 consideration behind it. I think Mr. Hahn ha an understanding and an educated guess of w might come out of the Clean Power Plan. But there's many moving parts in play there.  WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLEFFage 86  WITNE	21	and did that aspect change in any way when you	2	-	it decemble the interest it decemble that
23 A. (Hahn) Our the reference model that we used for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance  WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLEFFage 86 with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time.  We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  Q. O. So that's the CO2 pricing.  K(Koehler) Correct.  Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  Date of the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance with might come out of the Clean Power Plan I and understanding and an educated guess of w might come out of the Clean Power Plan I and understanding and an educated guess of w might come out of the Clean Power Plan I and understanding and an educated guess of w might come out of the Clean Power Plan I anderstanding and an educated guess of w might come out of the Clean Power Plan I anderstanding and an understanding and an educated guess of w might come out of the Clean Power Plan I anderstanding and an educated guess of w might come out of the Clean Power Plan I anderstanding and an educated guess of w might come out of the Clean Power Plan I anderstanding and an understanding and an understanding and an understanding and an educated guess of the might come out of the Clean Power Plan I anderstanding and an understanding and an educated guess of the might come out of the Clean Power Plan I anderstanding and an understanding and an educated guesa of there's ma	22	ald your update?	í		n doesn't nave a lot of analytical
might come out of the Clean Power Plan. But with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  8 A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  4 Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  5 A. (Koehler) Correct.  6 Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. ASLIN: I'd respectfully agree that you can make an estimate of directionality. That's all I was asking.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in terms of a general trend in directionality, with the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  MR. Hahn?  10 A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  12 BY MR. ASLIN:  13 Q. Absolutely. With regard to the anticipated or the CO2 price regulations that are going into effect in 2020, what direction would that push your asset valuations?  A. (Koehler) Correct.  5 Q. Absolutely. With regard to the anticipated or the CO2 price regulations that are going into effect in 2020, what direction would that push your asset valuations?  A. (Koehler) That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  10 A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  11 Push your asset valuations?  12 BY MR. ASLIN:  13 Q. Absolutely. With regard to the anticipated or the CO2 price regulation shat are going into effect in 2020, what directionality. Thereson the value in directionality. Thereson there is a general trend in direct	23 A.	(Hahn) Our the reference model that we used	- 1		consideration behind it. I think Mr. Hahn has
might come out of the Clean Power Plan. By might come of directionality. With reger that you can make an estimate of directionality. That's all I was asking.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in terms of a general trend in directionality. With the unders	24	for the 2014 La Capra study assumed compliance			an understanding and an educated guess of what
with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  Q. So that's the CO2 pricing. A. (Koehler) Correct. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  The province of the compliance with the clean Power plan I and with the various environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. ASLIN: I'd respectfully agree that you can make an estimate of directionality. That's all I was asking.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in terms of a general trend in directionality, with the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  Mr. Hahn?  A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  BY MR. ASLIN:  That's all I was asking.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in terms of a general trend in directionality. With the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  MR. Hahn?  A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  A. (Hahn) I don't know. I haven't done that. And that's far more complicated than saying, you know, natural gas price futures are down, so wexpect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first threshold in the time.  The province of the corporation at the time.  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I were in the circ			1	•	linght come out of the Clean Power Plan. But
with the various renewable portfolio standards and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  8 A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  A. (Koehler) Correct.  Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  The province of the correct of the compliance with the clean did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental laws. I MR. ASLIN: I'd respectfully agree that you can make an estimate of directionality. That's all I was asking.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in terms of a general trend in directionality, with the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  MR. Hahn?  A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  BY MR. ASLIN:  That's all I was asking.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in terms of a general trend in directionality. With the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  MR. Hahn?  A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  A. (Hahn) I don't know. I haven't done that. An that's far more complicated than saying, you know, natural gas price futures are down, so wexpect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first threshold in the correction of the current Clean Power Plan I with the correction of the current corre	WITNE	SS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 86	T/A/	/ITN	ESS DANEL PIOUADD MAN
and with the various environmental laws. I don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study. A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  Q. So that's the CO2 pricing. A. (Koehler) Correct. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  MR. ASLIN: I'd respectfully agree that you can make an estimate of directionality. That's all I was asking.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in terms of a general trend in directionality, with the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  MR. ASLIN: I'd respectfully agree that you can make an estimate of directionality. That's all I was asking.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in terms of a general trend in directionality, with the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  BY MR. ASLIN:  Q. Absolutely. With regard to the anticipated or the CO2 price regulations that are going into effect in 2020, what directionality.  That's all I was asking.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in terms of a general trend in directionality, with the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  BY MR. ASLIN:  A (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  A (Hahn) I don't know. I haven't done that. And that's far more complicated than saying, you know, natural gas price futures are down, so w expect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first t			'''		200 FANCE: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 88
don't think it expressly included the Clean Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study. A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  Q. So that's the CO2 pricing. A. (Koehler) Correct. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. ASLIN: I'd respectfully agree that you can make an estimate of directionality. That's all I was asking.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in terms of a general trend in directionality, with the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  Mr. Hahn?  10 A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  12 BY MR. ASLIN:  13 Q. Absolutely. With regard to the anticipated or the CO2 price regulations that are going into effect in 2020, what direction would that push your asset valuations?  11 Push your asset valuations?  12 Push your asset valuations?  13 Q. Absolutely. With regard to the anticipated or the CO2 price regulations that are going into effect in 2020, what direction would that push your asset valuations?  14 A. (Hahn) I don't know. I haven't done that. And that's far more complicated than saying, you know, natural gas price futures are down, so we expect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first threshold in the control of the control of the control of a general trend in directionality. That's all I was asking.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in terms of a general trend in directionality, that the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  14 (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question.  15 (Ha		and with the various arrives arrives are standards	1	-	there's many moving parts in play there
4 Power program the EPA has since issued. But it did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  8 A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  4 Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  5 A. (Koehler) Correct.  6 Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  3 agree that you can make an estimate of directionality. That's all I was asking.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in terms of a general trend in directionality, with the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  MR. Hahn?  10 A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  12 BY MR. ASLIN:  13 Q. Absolutely. With regard to the anticipated or the CO2 price regulations that are going into effect in 2020, what direction would that push your asset valuations?  14 A. (Hahn) I don't know. I haven't done that. And that's far more complicated than saying, you know, natural gas price futures are down, so we expect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first threshold in 2020 is that what your question deals		don't think it expression in 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2	}	MR. ASLIN: I'd respectfully
did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time. We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  A. (Koehler) Correct.  Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  did reflect sort of the compliance with the state of environmental regulation at the time.  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in terms of a general trend in directionality, with the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  MR. Hahn?  10 A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  12 BY MR. ASLIN:  13 Q. Absolutely. With regard to the anticipated or the CO2 price regulations that are going into effect in 2020, what direction would that push your asset valuations?  (Hahn) I don't know. I haven't done that. And that's far more complicated than saying, you know, natural gas price futures are down, so we expect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first threshold in the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  MR. Hahn?  10 A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  12 Device the possibility of future regulation of the core regulations will be allow that question.  13 Q. Absolutely. With regard to the anticipated or the CO2 price regulations?  14 (Hahn) I don't know. I haven't done that. And that's far more complicated than saying, you know, natural gas price futures are down, so we expect LMPs to	_	Power program the EDA	3	1	agree that you can make an estimate of
state of environmental regulation at the time.  We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  A. (Koehler) Correct.  Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: Well, in terms of a general trend in directionality, with the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  MR. Hahn?  A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  A. (Koehler) Correct.  We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  MR. Hahn?  A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  A. (Hahn) I don't know. I haven't done that. And that's far more complicated than saying, you know, natural gas price futures are down, so we expect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first threshold in the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  BY MR. ASLIN:		did reflect nort of the	4		directionality. That's all I was asking
We didn't update that in the 2015 study.  A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  A. (Koehler) Correct.  Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  a general trend in directionality, with the understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  Mr. Hahn?  A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  BY MR. ASLIN:  A. (Hahn) I don't know. I haven't done that. And that's far more complicated than saying, you know, natural gas price futures are down, so wexpect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first threshold in 2020 is that what your question deals  BY MR. ASLIN:  BY MR. ASLIN:  BY MR. ASLIN:  BY MR. ASLIN:		state of anying me compliance with the	5		MR. SPEIDEL: Well in terms of
we didn't update that in the 2015 study.  8 A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  4 Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  5 A. (Koehler) Correct.  6 Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  MR. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  7 Understanding that it would be limited, we'll allow that question.  9 MR. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  12 BY MR. ASLIN:  13 Q. Absolutely. With regard to the anticipated or the CO2 price regulations that are going into effect in 2020, what direction would that push your asset valuations?  14 A. (Hahn) I don't know. I haven't done that. And that's far more complicated than saying, you know, natural gas price futures are down, so we expect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first threshold in 2020 is that what your question deals BY MR. ASLIN:		State of environmental regulation at the time.	6		a general trend in directionality, with the
also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  4 Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  5 A. (Koehler) Correct.  6 Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  A. (Koehler) If I could also add there? It did also incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation.  Mr. Hahn?  10 A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  13 Q. Absolutely. With regard to the anticipated or the CO2 price regulations that are going into effect in 2020, what direction would that push your asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) I don't know. I haven't done that. And that's far more complicated than saying, you know, natural gas price futures are down, so we expect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first threshold in 2020 is that what your question deals BY MR. ASLIN:		we didn't update that in the 2015 study	7		understanding that it would be limited
asso incorporate an assumed future carbon price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  4 Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  5 A. (Koehler) Correct.  6 Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  9 Mr. Hahn?  10 A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  12 BY MR. ASLIN:  13 Q. Absolutely. With regard to the anticipated or the CO2 price regulations that are going into effect in 2020, what direction would that push your asset valuations?  14 (Hahn) I don't know. I haven't done that. And that's far more complicated than saying, you know, natural gas price futures are down, so we expect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first threshold in 2020 is that what your question deals BY MR. ASLIN:		(Koenler) If I could also add there? It did	8		allow that question
price, which was not based on any particular regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  4 Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  5 A. (Koehler) Correct.  6 Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  Date of the CO2 price regulations that are going into effect in 2020, what direction would that push your asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  10 A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  BY MR. ASLIN:  12 BY MR. ASLIN:  13 Q. Absolutely. With regard to the anticipated or the CO2 price regulations that are going into effect in 2020, what direction would that push your asset valuations?  14 A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  15 BY MR. ASLIN:  16 A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  16 BY MR. ASLIN:  18 DA A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  19 A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  10 A. (Hahn) All right. Can someone refresh my memory of what the question is?  12 BY MR. ASLIN:  13 Q. Absolutely. With regard to the anticipated or the CO2 price regulations that are going into effect in 2020, what direction would that push your asset valuations?  14 A. (Hahn) I don't know. I haven't done that. And that's far more complicated than saying, you know, natural gas price futures are down, so we expect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first threshold in 2020 is that what your question deals		also incorporate an assumed future carbon	9		
regulation in effect, but was intended to reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  A. (Koehler) Correct.  Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  Pages at least 1500 II.		price, which was not based on any particular	1	Α	(Hahn) All right. Con company of the
reflect the possibility of future regulation of carbon.  Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  A. (Koehler) Correct.  Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  Pages at least 1500 M.		regulation in effect, but was intended to	1		memory of what the quarties : 0
Q. So that's the CO2 pricing.  A. (Koehler) Correct.  Q. Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  13 Q. Absolutely. With regard to the anticipated or the CO2 price regulations that are going into effect in 2020, what direction would that push your asset valuations?  14 Absolutely. With regard to the anticipated or the CO2 price regulations that are going into effect in 2020, what direction would that push your asset valuations?  15 A. (Hahn) I don't know. I haven't done that. And that's far more complicated than saying, you know, natural gas price futures are down, so we expect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first threshold in 2020 is that what your question deals BY MR. ASLIN:		reflect the possibility of future regulation of	ļ	F	SY MR A ST TN.
or the CO2 price regulations that are going into effect in 2020, what direction would that push your asset valuations?  A. (Koehler) Correct.  Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I expect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first threshold in 2020 is that what your question deals BY MR. ASLIN:		carbon.		_	
of the CO2 price regulations that are going into effect in 2020, what direction would that push your asset valuations?  A. (Koehler) Correct.  Do you have a directional estimate of what the impact of updating your valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I expect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first threshold in 2020 is that what your question deals  BY MR. ASLIN:	-	So that's the CO2 pricing.	1	٧.	or the CO2
MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  may just object. 1500 Ll.  may page at least 1500 Ll.  may page at least 1500 Ll.  may pour valuations would be based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I may page at least 1500 Ll.  may just object. That's a thousand-plus  may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I may just object. That's a thousand-plus  may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I may just object. That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I may just object. That is if I may just object.	5 A.	(Koehler) Correct.			into affect in 2020
based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I and place of updating your valuations would be that that's far more complicated than saying, you know, natural gas price futures are down, so we expect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first threshold is 2020 is that what your question deals BY MR. ASLIN:	6 Q.	Do you have a directional estimate of what the			push your proset of the control would that
that's far more complicated than saying, you know, natural gas price futures are down, so we expect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  based on the current Clean Power Plan and other environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I expect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first threshold is 2020 is that what your question deals BY MR. ASLIN:	,	impact of updating your valuations would be		Δ	(Hahn) I don't least valuations?
environmental changes that have taken place?  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I  may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I  understand is something like a thousand-plus  may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I  sunderstand is something like a thousand-plus  MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I  expect LMPs to go down. If you're referring to the Clean Power program, the first threshold is 2020 is that what your question deals  BY MR. ASLIN:	8	based on the current Clean Power Plan and other		л.	that's for my and the that the theta the that the theta the that the theta the the the that the the the the the the the the the th
MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  pages at least 1500 LL  may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  BY MR. ASLIN:	9	environmental changes that have taken place?			that's far more complicated than saving you
may just object. That's a very large, very broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus pages at least 1500 LL.	0	MR. SPEIDEL: That is if I			know, natural gas price futures are down so we
broad question. The Clean Power Plan I understand is something like a thousand-plus  broad question. The Clean Power Plan I 22 2020 is that what your question deals 23 BY MR. ASLIN:	1 :	may just object. That's a very large year.			expect LIVIPS to go down. If you're referring to
understand is something like a thousand-plus  BY MR. ASLIN:	2	broad question. The Clean Power Plan I			the Clean Power program, the first threshold in
4 pages at least 1500 II.	3	understand is something like a thousand plus		_	2020 is that what your question deals
- Pubos at toast, 1000 I liest from my	<b>1</b> 1	Darge of locat 1500 ti a		ר ה	I MR. ASLIN:
pages, at least, 1500 I hear from my 24 Q. Yes.		. 5 /, 1000 I float from flly	24	Ų.	Yes.

	DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSNH's	Gei	nera	tion Assets - Technical Session Deposition
IWITNES	SS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERPage 89	[WITI	VESS	S PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER 1999
Ι-	(Hahn) Haven't looked at it for New Hampshire.	1		So would you like to begin, Mr.
2	It's on a state-by-state basis. I don't know. So I can't answer your question whether it	2		And are we on the record? I
3	would what direction it would go in.	4		presume, yes. Thank you.  MR. AALTO: Is this machine
5 Q.	Okay. Thank you.  MR. ASLIN: Oh, yeah, just for	5 6		working at this point?
7	the record, I wanted to make it clear that the Confidential Exhibit 3, which is the New	7		MR. SPEIDEL: I would hope so. Yes, it sounds like it.
9	England model report, is not something that the	9		MR. AALTO: Thank you. EXAMINATION
10	parties have. I think Staff maybe has and Advocate Staff.	10	B?	Y MR. AALTO:
12	MR. SPEIDEL: Yes. So the	12	Q.	Thank you very much, and welcome to New Hampshire.
13	reason it was assigned, again, a numbering scheme for purposes of this technical session	14	Α.	(Hahn) Good to be here, Mr. Aalto.
15	is so that folks can follow along, not for the purposes of marking at the hearing, because	15 16	Q.	and how you use it. Did you try to calibrate
16 17	Ms. Ross made a few references to it.	17		your model's output for some of the past couple of years with actual data on cost from the
18 19	MR. ASLIN: And I just wanted to clarify for the record that that issue of that	18 19		utility?
20	report has not been the confidentiality of	20		(Hahn) Not cost. But as I said, we began our simulation in January of 2013. And so when we
21	that report is not being challenged, but there's also been not a request for it at this	22		began this work in September-ish of 2013, we
23	time. Most of the parties do not have it in their possession.	23 24		had some actual data for the output. And what we focused on was the output of the PSNH units.

## [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 90

MR. SPEIDEL: That's correct. 1 The consultants of Staff have indicated that 2 they believe that to be confidential in toto 3 within their motion for confidential treatment. 4 MR. ASLIN: Yes, I think we're 5 understanding each other. 6 MR. SPEIDEL: Okay. And are you 7 complete with your questioning, sir? 8 MR. ASLIN: I was about to say, 9

yes, we have no further questions at this time. Thank you. MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you very much. I think it's time for a break, a ten-minute break. Could we please reconvene at

11:25? Thank you very much. (Whereupon a brief recess was taken at 11:13 a.m., and the proceedings resumed at 11:27 a.m.)

MR. SPEIDEL: Okay. I think we've gone through all of the -- that is, the moving party, the Company, and all the state-affiliated questioners. And the next questioner will be Mr. Aalto. Doesn't get any more alphabetical than that, two As.

# [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 9.

- 1 Q. But you do not have, then, any sense of how much money the plants might have produced in 2
- terms of net income during those years other 3
- than through the model itself. 4
- 5 A. (Hahn) Well, we didn't estimate historically whether these plants made money or lost money. 6
- We did not do that, sir. 7
- 8 Q. All right. Would that kind of data have been
- available to you if you chose to go that route, 9
- or is that confidential? 10
- (Hahn) Well, that data is not available to us. 11 A.
- It is available to Public Service of New 12
- Hampshire because they would receive a 13
- statement each week, and eventually each month 14
- from ISO-New England telling them what their 15
- revenues are. And their accounting system 16
- would tell them what the costs are. So I would 17
- not have access to that without the cooperation 18 of the Company. 19
- 20 Q. Presumably the Commission would have access to that in terms to work up rates. 21
- 22 A. (Hahn) I can't answer that question.
- 23 Q. Speculation. Well, I guess I would assume that it's there. 24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Richard Hahn and Daniel Koehler - La Capra Associates - October 26, 2015

[WIT	DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSN NESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 9	11 2	G	ene	ration Assets - Technical Session Deposition
Į.	and the state of t	93	רוש]	ΓNE	SS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 98
1	There's been discussion in some of the	-	1		
2	record and I don't know exactly where it			Α.	subconsultant, ESS. That's correct; right?
3	18 it refers to statements by the utility	-		Q.	() = Hat 5 0011CCL.
4	that during the winter there was a with the		3 4	Q.	me purpose of having ESS Work with
5	price spikes, the existence of the plants they				you?
6	had provided income to them or savings to the			A.	v
7	customer of \$150 million. Does that sound like		6		know was were there environmental liabilities
8	a reasonable number to you based on your		7		associated with these plants that could have a
9	assessment of the numbers? This was for a		8		significant impact on an asset valuation. And
10	couple months of operation.		9		so ESS is an environmental consulting firm I a
11 /	(Hahn) Well that's are after 1	1	.0		Capra is not. And so we partnered with them
12	V VIII VIII VIII VIII OLI OLI OLI OLI OLI OLI OLI OLI OLI	1	.1		as we have done in several other projects, and
13	that I reviewed from Mr. Smagula. I've not	1	.2		asked them to take a look at that issue. They
14	looked at the underlying analysis of that, so I	1	.3		spent some time with the Company's management
15	can't say for sure. The question is worded	1	4		and personnel. They looked at records that the
16	"production cost savings." And that could	1	5		Company had. They did conclude that there was
17	be and I say "could be" or is frequently	1	6		a potential for certain investments, but there
	deemed to be the variable fuel and O&M costs of	1	7		was no assurety that those investments would be
18	the PSNH units versus market LMPs and capacity	1	8		required. Closed-loop cooling was, I think,
19	prices. And so that may or may not be the	1	9		the biggest issue they identified. But they
20	whole equation. But as I said, I have not done	2	0		said that you know there are a
21	an analysis of that data request, so I don't	2:	_		said that, you know, there was no specific
22	know.	2:			requirement for them for the Company to make
23 Q	Full book of the duestion was, are	23			that investment at this time. And the Company,
24	these power plants making money today, and	24			I don't believe, forecasted that in their
·—			-		capital addition. So we did not include it.
WITN	ESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERPage 94	rw	/ITN	FS!	S PANEL - DICHARD HALLA
1		1			S PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER age 96
- 2 A	that's not a part of your study in any detail?	1	. Q	).	Okay. I'm going to backtrack to make sure I
3	Was prospective in	2	?		understand.
4	nature. It began the original 2014 study	3	1		You contracted or entered into or
5	began the analysis of the financial pro	4	;		contracted to have ESS do the environmental
5 6	formas began in January of 2015, and the update	5	i	1	review because that's not your area of
	was January 2016. So we did not do a	6		(	expertise.
7	retroactive look, sir.	7	A		(Hahn) Correct.
8	MR. AALTO: Thank you. I would	8	Q.	. :	So you know that. And so when it's important
9	like to if my understanding is correct, we	9	_	f	o look at those costs, you work with a company
0	can come back for more questions later But I	10		1	ike ESS.
1	think I'm done for the moment.		A.		Hahn) Yes.
2	MR. SPEIDEL: Okay, Mr. Aalto.	12			
3	Thank you.	13	∢.	7	And in doing a valuation, it appears from your
4	MR. AALTO: Thank you.	14		2	2014 report that it was important to look at
5	MR. SPEIDEL: I believe the next	15		£.	environmental compliance costs when looking at
5	party in alphabetical order would be CLF.			l.	he valuation of these plants going into the
7	EXAMINATION	16	A		uture.
В	Y MS. FRIGNOCA:		A.	•	Hahn) Yes.
Q.	Good morning.	18	Ų.	S	o at this time, when you did your 2015 update,
) À.	(WITNESS PANEL) Good morning.	19		a	Id you ask ESS to do any undate of the
L Q.	Just want to I have some questions t	20		11	kelihood that these environmental costs would
- <b></b> .	clarify, first.	21		С	ome the costs that they had looked at, did

clarify, first.

When you did the initial report, the 2014

report, you worked with sort of a

23

24

22

23

come -- the costs that they had looked at, did

costs are more likely now?

24 A. (Hahn) We did not. Again, the update was

you ask them to do any update on whether those

Richard Hahn and Daniel Koehler - La DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSNH's	Generation Assets - Technical Session Deposition
[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERPage 97	[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]age 99
limited to the two changes that we talked about. Q. Okay. So you have not, in preparing for this deposition, consulted with ESS? A. (Hahn) No. Q. And in preparing your 2015 update, you did not consult with ESS? A. (Hahn) No. Q. You would still agree that, if you were going to do a full update rather than the limited update for which you were engaged, you would consult with ESS? A. (Hahn) I don't know if I would. I would certainly consider it. Again, if you look at the process that we went through originally, you know, the Company, Public Service of New Hampshire, was very good about providing us information about their plants. So one of the first things we might do in a full update is speak with them first before we engaged ESS. But it's theoretically possible, if we were required to do a full update of the asset valuation study, that we would want to speak to ESS.	1 issue. 2 Q. And are you familiar with the Steam Electric 3 Power Generating Effluent Guidelines, or ELGs? 4 A. (Hahn) That term does not sound familiar to me. 5 Maybe I know it by a different name, but it 6 does not sound familiar. 7 Q. Okay. How about the Federal Coal Ash Rule? 8 A. (Hahn) Again, I'm not an environmental engineer 9 and don't have that's not the kind of thing 10 that La Capra would look at. 11 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with any updates to 12 those NPDES permits or 316-B requirements under 13 the Clean Water Act that would require 14 closed-loop cooling systems or towers to be 15 installed at Schiller, Merrimack and Newington 16 stations? 17 A. (Hahn) As I said, we do not. 18 Q. You do not have any information about that. 19 MS. FRIGNOCA: I just want to 10 note for the record that that's all the 21 questions I have for now, in part because 22 having just been given the Confidential version 23 of the technical report, I haven't had a chance 24 to look through it and develop more detailed
[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER] age 98	[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERAge 10.
1 Q. Okay. 2 A. (Hahn) But that situation has not been proposed 3 to us. 4 Q. Let me be more let me ask a more pointed	questions. But I am all done.  MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you very  much.  The next person up I think would
guestion then. If you were asked to do a full	5 be Mr. Cronin.

- question then. If you were asked to do a full 5
- update, would you consider environmental 6
- compliance costs, whether you got the 7
- information from the Company or from ESS?
- (Hahn) Sure. Yes. Absolutely.
- And would you agree that those environmental 10 O.
- compliance costs are important in part because 11
- they are going to affect the future O&M costs 12
- for each facility? 13
- (Hahn) Well, they could. I don't know if they 14 A.
- would. But they could, sure. They could 15
- require additional capital investments that 16
- were not included in the Company's forecast. I 17
- mean, that's inherently part of the uncertainty 18 in any asset valuation. 19
- 20 O. All right. And you've already testified you
- don't quite know what the impacts will be of 21 the Clean Power Plan? 22
- (Hahn) That's right. I have not analyzed that. 23 A.
- And that's, as we talked about, a complex 24

# 10.

- **EXAMINATION** 6
- BY MR. CUNNINGHAM: 7
- Yes, I just have a few questions on Page 2 of 8 your 2014 report. 9
- 10 A. (Hahn) You said Page 2 of the 2014 La Capra report, sir? 11
- The second full paragraph of your report. 12 Q.
  - MR. SPEIDEL: That would be
- 13 Public Exhibit 1, Mr. Cunningham? 14
  - MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes.
- BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:
- I'm just going to read a quote. "We relied
  - heavily on PSNH data and projections related to
- plant operating characteristics, costs and 19
- revenues." 20
  - Could you identify for me what precise
- documents you looked at? 22
- (Hahn) I don't know if I can point a finger to 23 A.
- a precise document. But we asked them, for 24

15

18

#### [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER 101 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER 103 example, what the heat rates were, what their 1 variable O&M was, what their other O&M cost was 2 going forward. We asked them about capital 3 3 investments. It was our belief that, as the 4 4 operator of the plants, they would have a very 5 5 good handle on that. So we used that data that 6 7 we received from them. 7 8 Q. Did they produce the data in written form? 8 9 A. (Hahn) I'm sure they did. I don't recall a specific document, but I'm sure that it wasn't 10 10 all verbal, if that's your question. 11 11 12 Q. And do you still have those documents in your 12 13 possession? 14 A. (Hahn) That I do not know. I don't know 14 whether we returned them or whether we kept 15 16 them. I don't know. 17 Q. And were any of those documents deemed 18 confidential? 18 19 A. (Hahn) Yes. 20 Q. Which of those documents were deemed 19 20 21 confidential? 21 22 A. (Hahn) Well, I think the forecast of O&M costs, 22 the forecast of capital investments, that would 23 be confidential. If I were the Company, I 24 24 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER 102 would not want that information out into the 1 public. Heat rate. Again, it's a competitive 2 2 market in New England. And all of that 3 information, if made public, could be used 4 4 potentially to the detriment of the Company. 5 So it's not unusual to have information like 6 that deemed to be confidential, in my opinion. 7 7 8 Q. And did you look at any data or such reports 8 that predated January 1, 2013? 9 10 A. (Hahn) I'm sorry, sir. I don't understand the 11 question. 12 Q. Well, you said the cutoff date for your 12 analysis was January 1 of 2013. Correct me if 13 13 14 I'm wrong. 15 A. (Hahn) That's when we began the hourly dispatch 15 simulations for the New England market model. 16 16 Okay. And so if I understand that, did you 17 Q. 17 look at O&M data, capital projection expense 18 18 data that predated January 1, 2013? 19 19 (Hahn) There was actual data on these plants 20 A. 20 available from the FERC Form 1 that gave you an 21 21 aggregate level of spending. That's publicly 22 22 available data that the Company files with the 23 23 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, or FERC. 24

- (Discussion between witnesses)
- 2 A. (Hahn) And Mr. Koehler just confirmed for me
- that the subscription service that we subscribe
- to also has historical information on the
- plants.
- Did you look at any actual PSNH documents that 6 Q.
- predated January 1, 2013, aside from the FERC filings?
- 9 A. (Hahn) I don't recall, sir. Something I'd have
- to go check. I don't recall, as I sit here,
- whether there were documents before
- January 1st, 2013 or not.
- 13 Q. And do you recall whether or not you looked at the FERC documents?
- 15 A. (Hahn) I think I did, yes.
- 16 Q. And did they play a role in your calculations?
- 17 A. (Hahn) It appeared to me that the forecast the
- Company had given us was consistent with that
- historic data, so we used it as a check. We didn't do a formal analysis of 10 years' worth
- of FERC Form 1 data, if that's what you're
- going to ask. No, we didn't do that.
- All right. And in that same paragraph, it 23 O.
- indicates that PSNH has done its own recent

# [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER 104

- valuation study. Did you see that study?
- (Witness reviews document.)
- 3 A. (Hahn) Well, it says PSNH did not offer its own valuation study.
- 5 Q. Let me ask you this: Did you ask for it?
- 6 A. (Hahn) If your question was, did we ask the
- Company whether it had a valuation study or not --
- 9 Q. Yes, sir.
- 10 A. --(Hahn) I don't recall that we did.
- 11 Q. From the language in this paragraph, it
- indicates that you knew of the existence of the study; correct?
- (Hahn) I'm not sure that I would interpret that 14 A.
- statement that way. But again, I just don't recall whether we asked them for -- I don't
- believe we asked them for it, if that's your
- question. Whether we knew of it, I don't recall.
- MR. SPEIDEL: Mr. Cunningham, I think the language reads, "did not." That is a negative; therefore, there was no study
- prepared. I think that's the indication in that language.

	Generation Assets - Technical Session Deposition
ITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER ge 105	WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL ROEHLBridge 107
MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, I	1 do that.
11 A.C. Cunidal	2 Q. Can you draw any conclusions from that
MD CDEIDEL Okay Just	statement on whether or not this Merrimack
AD CUMNINGHAM: It specifically	4 Station should be divested?
11 Contained it mad its own recent	5 A. (Hahn) Well, if you look at the results of our
1 diam study II Co Ilm just inquiring what	study, on a DCF, or discounted cash flow basis,
ic that I a Comra made of such a study	Merrimack, over the next 15 years, from
	January 2015 to I think the last year of our
s if any. A. (Hahn) Well, my read of that statement is	analysis was the year 2030. So, over that
9 A. (Hahn) Well, my read of that statement is	15-year period there was insufficient EBITDA to
Consistent with this Sporter. So I'm no	support the other fixed costs and a positive
sure I don't believe we knew that the	sale price. You can draw conclusions from
2 Company had one, and we didn't use and	that. But that's not an analysis that's not
3 O. Just one last question. The parties of the	the analysis that was in the question that was
Interested in your constant on and any	15 asked previously.
5 DCI ICSUIS INDITINGUE STATES	16 Q. Well, beyond that analysis, what other
6 A. (Hahn) Are you referring to Page 68 of the 2014	information would you need to make a
report, sir?	18 divestiture analysis?
Le Q. That's right.	19 A. (Hahn) Well, as in our report, we try to
The only reason I ask is, if you look at	111 Tehinle
that last sentence, EB how do you EBDA?	1 1 1 1 - 1 - 1
21 A. (Hahn) EBITDA.	l con the contract of the cont
Q. Quickly explain that, would you, please?	1: 1: 1: Lessa many comparable
23 A. (Hahn) It's an acronym that stands for Earnings	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and	market sales. And the ones we had for other
[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERage 106	[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLEPRIGE 10
1 Amortization. It is a standard term in the	1 power plants weren't necessarily relevant to
" '-1 industry to measure	these. So we did rely heavily on the DCF
t it a server on an agent is profitable	analysis. That's stated in our report.
1 C 11 - Cabona other things	4 O. So that would be a major analytical point in
5 Q. And it's a pretty standard application, is it	5 determining whether or not divestiture was
l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	6 appropriate for Merrimack Station?
	7 A. (Hahn) I think you could take the EBITDA
was an would look at FRITDA	8 estimates from our report with some other data
a z ill husings approiser would	and use that in an analysis of ratepayer
4 4 4 6	benefits. I just need to tell you that we did
	11 not do that.
11 A. (Hahn) I believe they would, sure.	12 Q. I understand. Thank you.
12 Q. Sure. And it goes on to say, "EBITDA is not	13 MR. CUNNINGHAM: That's all the
only insufficient to support ongoing financing	14 questions I have.
and expenses and additional capital	15 MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you, Mr.
	G 1 1
expenditures, it is negative for most of the	
remaining life," and so on. "In five of our	1 helieve in the order of
remaining life," and so on. "In five of our six scenarios, projected cash flow for the	I believe in the order of
remaining life," and so on. "In five of our six scenarios, projected cash flow for the plant is insufficient to provide a reasonable	questioning, the next entity would be Granite
remaining life," and so on. "In five of our six scenarios, projected cash flow for the plant is insufficient to provide a reasonable internal rate of return on equity at any price,	questioning, the next entity would be Granite State Hydro Association.
remaining life," and so on. "In five of our six scenarios, projected cash flow for the plant is insufficient to provide a reasonable internal rate of return on equity at any price, so the DCF value is zero."	questioning, the next entity would be Granite State Hydro Association. MR. NORMAN: We have no
remaining life," and so on. "In five of our six scenarios, projected cash flow for the plant is insufficient to provide a reasonable internal rate of return on equity at any price, so the DCF value is zero."  Now, you indicated in response to an	questioning, the next entity would be Granite State Hydro Association. MR. NORMAN: We have no questions.
remaining life," and so on. "In five of our six scenarios, projected cash flow for the plant is insufficient to provide a reasonable internal rate of return on equity at any price, so the DCF value is zero."	questioning, the next entity would be Granite State Hydro Association. MR. NORMAN: We have no questions. MR. SPEIDEL: No questions.
remaining life," and so on. "In five of our six scenarios, projected cash flow for the plant is insufficient to provide a reasonable internal rate of return on equity at any price, so the DCF value is zero."  Now, you indicated in response to an	questioning, the next entity would be Granite State Hydro Association. MR. NORMAN: We have no questions.

•		9	A. (Hahn) Oh, sure. Demetrios Kordonis, K-O-R-D-O-N-I-S, assisted us with a capacity
9 Q.	Hello, Mr. Hahn. Nice to see you again. (Hahn) Nice to see you, Dan.	1	1. [Hanni] h cura Dana - L.: Tr
8 E	BY MR. ALLEGRETTI:	8 4	
7	EXAMINATION	7	individuals at La Capra that helped you on this project?
6	NEPGA, yes. Everyone's so excited about that	6	2 - " Jane and marries of the Other
5	MR. SPEIDEL: Oh. I'm sorry	5 (	project.
4	New England Power Generators Association.	4	were others at La Capra who worked on this
3	MS. HOLAHAN: No. on behalf of	3	written by Mr. Koehler and I. Ohviously there
2	of Granite State Hydro Association	2	V V V GDC IIIGIOIILV OI IIINI IEDOM WAS
1	Allegretti of RESA to ask questions on behalf	1	
	ESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERage 110	[WIT	NESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERige 112
WITN	ESS PANEL: RICHARD HAUN and DANIEL		
	moretore, I will invite Mr.	24	Q. Were you the sole preparer of the 2014 report?
24	Therefore, I will invite Mr.	23	A. (Hahn) Close enough.
23	Hahn.	22	IUS SUII
22	MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you, Mr.	21	Boundary Boundarion Of 5000 Wolling
21	correct.	20	BY MR. MAHER:
20	valuation study," is what I believe to be	19	EXAMINATION
19	interpretation of that, "did not have its own	18	attorneys to ask questions.
18	mey didn't have one. So that's why that	17	mylte the Cities of Berlin and Gorham's
17	that we did ask for that, and the Company said		nearing that TransCanada has no questions. I
16	own. During a hiatus, Mr. Koehler reminded me	15	MR. SPEIDEL: Very good. So,
15	Hampshire had an asset valuation study of their	14	MR. MAHER: Yes.
14	inquired whether Public Service of New	- 1	Bolat?
13 A	A. (Hahn) Mr. Cronin [sic] asked about whether we	12	questions without the presence of Attorney
12	questions on behalf of Mr. Cronin.	11	to the time. Would you be able to ask the
11	Hahn regarding one of Mr. Cunningham's	10	Berlin and Gorham because we're getting close
10	There's an additional clarification from Mr.	9	I just wanted to check in with
9	Just one moment, please.	8	MR. SPEIDEL: Sorry about that.
8	to.	7	questions.
7	MR. SPEIDEL: If you would care	6	note for the record we don't have any
6	Speidel.)	5	MR. PATCH: I just wanted to
5	(Discussion between Mr. Hahn and Mr.	4	sorry. On, TransCanada. I'm sorry.
4	questions on behalf of NEPGA today.	3	nere today. Would you be able to ask I'm
3	Attorney Allegretti from RESA is going to ask	2	Berlin and Gorham among the parties present
2	MS. HOLAHAN: I'm going to		THOTOLOGO, I WILL WE'VE reached

DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSNH's	Generation Assets - recuircal bession Deposition
WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER ge 113	WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL ROLFIELINGS 110
1 Q. And to your knowledge, are any of the	Non-Advocate Staff's authorization.
2 individuals that you just named certified real	MR. MAHER: Understood. And
the state of New Hampshire	just to mirror Attorney Ross's statement, it's
119	understood that those sorts of requests are at
1 2 2 2	5 Non-Advocate Staff's authorization.
	6 MR. SPEIDEL: You may continue.
a. 1 1 - Charles and Approical Practice	7 MR. MAHER: Thank you.
TIOD A DO	8 BY MR. MAHER:
1 0.1 1 37-2 20	9 Q. Did you rely upon any comparable sales that are
a to the state of the state of the	not considered any comparable sales that are
and a second sec	not discussed in your 2014 report?
arran and a second and and and	12 A. (Hahn) I don't believe so.
TTT	13 Q. What was your criteria for selecting your
13 A. (Halli) I doll't know. We proposed that we	14 comparable sales?
1 Commence other energy assets and	15 A (Hahn) We tried to look at asset sales that we
We're not doing	knew about that we could research, do secondary
· _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ ·	research. So it was based on general
a real estate appraisal.	18 intelligence that we had.
18 Q. Okay. So you said this is not a real estate	19 Q. Did you look back a certain amount of time?
appraisal; correct?	20 Was there a geographic limitation?
20 A. It's an asset valuation. If you want to call	21 A. (Hahn) I'm sure there was, but I don't recall
that a real estate appraisal, fine. We did an	those details.
asset valuation based upon market conditions	23 Q. Okay. So if I were to ask you, did you go back
and comparable sales.	as far as, say, 2006, you wouldn't be able to
24 Q. Does it include good will? Is it a business	22 00 101 00,000,000
[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERage 114	[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERIGE 11.
1	tell me?
1 valuation that would include intangible items	tell me?
such as good will?	2 A. (Hahn) Not today.
<ul><li>such as good will?</li><li>A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would</li></ul>	2 A. (Hahn) Not today. 3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be
<ul> <li>such as good will?</li> <li>A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 A. (Hahn) Not today.</li> <li>3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be</li> <li>4 able to tell me today.</li> </ul>
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the	<ul> <li>2 A. (Hahn) Not today.</li> <li>3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be</li> <li>4 able to tell me today.</li> <li>5 A. (Hahn) That's correct.</li> </ul>
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?	<ul> <li>2 A. (Hahn) Not today.</li> <li>3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be</li> <li>4 able to tell me today.</li> <li>5 A. (Hahn) That's correct.</li> <li>6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able</li> </ul>
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.	<ul> <li>2 A. (Hahn) Not today.</li> <li>3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be</li> <li>4 able to tell me today.</li> <li>5 A. (Hahn) That's correct.</li> <li>6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able</li> <li>7 to look at and produce your file, would that</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>such as good will?</li> <li>A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?</li> <li>A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.</li> <li>Q. I imagine that in producing the 2014 report you</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 A. (Hahn) Not today.</li> <li>3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be</li> <li>4 able to tell me today.</li> <li>5 A. (Hahn) That's correct.</li> <li>6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able</li> <li>7 to look at and produce your file, would that</li> <li>8 inform you?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>such as good will?</li> <li>A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?</li> <li>A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.</li> <li>Q. I imagine that in producing the 2014 report you compiled significant amounts of data, market</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 A. (Hahn) Not today.</li> <li>3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be</li> <li>4 able to tell me today.</li> <li>5 A. (Hahn) That's correct.</li> <li>6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able</li> <li>7 to look at and produce your file, would that</li> <li>8 inform you?</li> <li>9 A. (Hahn) It might. Whatever is there will be</li> </ul>
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.  Q. I imagine that in producing the 2014 report you compiled significant amounts of data, market studies, et cetera. Do you maintain that	<ul> <li>2 A. (Hahn) Not today.</li> <li>3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be able to tell me today.</li> <li>5 A. (Hahn) That's correct.</li> <li>6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able to look at and produce your file, would that inform you?</li> <li>9 A. (Hahn) It might. Whatever is there will be there.</li> </ul>
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.  Q. I imagine that in producing the 2014 report you compiled significant amounts of data, market studies, et cetera. Do you maintain that information, those documents, in a file that	<ul> <li>2 A. (Hahn) Not today.</li> <li>3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be able to tell me today.</li> <li>5 A. (Hahn) That's correct.</li> <li>6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able to look at and produce your file, would that inform you?</li> <li>9 A. (Hahn) It might. Whatever is there will be there.</li> <li>11 O. Did you review or consult any sales for your</li> </ul>
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.  Q. I imagine that in producing the 2014 report you compiled significant amounts of data, market studies, et cetera. Do you maintain that information, those documents, in a file that you can reproduce in order to support the	<ul> <li>2 A. (Hahn) Not today.</li> <li>3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be able to tell me today.</li> <li>5 A. (Hahn) That's correct.</li> <li>6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able to look at and produce your file, would that inform you?</li> <li>9 A. (Hahn) It might. Whatever is there will be there.</li> <li>11 Q. Did you review or consult any sales for your 2015 update that was not used in your 2014</li> </ul>
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.  Q. I imagine that in producing the 2014 report you compiled significant amounts of data, market studies, et cetera. Do you maintain that information, those documents, in a file that you can reproduce in order to support the conclusions in your 2014 and 2015 reports?	<ul> <li>2 A. (Hahn) Not today.</li> <li>3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be</li> <li>4 able to tell me today.</li> <li>5 A. (Hahn) That's correct.</li> <li>6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able</li> <li>7 to look at and produce your file, would that inform you?</li> <li>9 A. (Hahn) It might. Whatever is there will be</li> <li>10 there.</li> <li>11 Q. Did you review or consult any sales for your</li> <li>2015 update that was not used in your 2014</li> <li>13 report?</li> </ul>
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.  Q. I imagine that in producing the 2014 report you compiled significant amounts of data, market studies, et cetera. Do you maintain that information, those documents, in a file that you can reproduce in order to support the conclusions in your 2014 and 2015 reports?  A. (Hahn) Well, I believe we would have some data.	2 A. (Hahn) Not today. 3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be 4 able to tell me today. 5 A. (Hahn) That's correct. 6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able 7 to look at and produce your file, would that 8 inform you? 9 A. (Hahn) It might. Whatever is there will be 10 there. 11 Q. Did you review or consult any sales for your 12 2015 update that was not used in your 2014 13 report? 14 A. (Hahn) No.
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.  Q. I imagine that in producing the 2014 report you compiled significant amounts of data, market studies, et cetera. Do you maintain that information, those documents, in a file that you can reproduce in order to support the conclusions in your 2014 and 2015 reports?  A. (Hahn) Well, I believe we would have some data. Again, I'm not sure that we still have	2 A. (Hahn) Not today. 3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be 4 able to tell me today. 5 A. (Hahn) That's correct. 6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able 7 to look at and produce your file, would that 8 inform you? 9 A. (Hahn) It might. Whatever is there will be 10 there. 11 Q. Did you review or consult any sales for your 12 2015 update that was not used in your 2014 13 report? 14 A. (Hahn) No. 15 Q. I notice that this is a DCF analysis. Now, did
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.  Q. I imagine that in producing the 2014 report you compiled significant amounts of data, market studies, et cetera. Do you maintain that information, those documents, in a file that you can reproduce in order to support the conclusions in your 2014 and 2015 reports?  A. (Hahn) Well, I believe we would have some data.  Again, I'm not sure that we still have information we may have gotten from the	2 A. (Hahn) Not today. 3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be 4 able to tell me today. 5 A. (Hahn) That's correct. 6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able 7 to look at and produce your file, would that 8 inform you? 9 A. (Hahn) It might. Whatever is there will be 10 there. 11 Q. Did you review or consult any sales for your 12 2015 update that was not used in your 2014 13 report? 14 A. (Hahn) No. 15 Q. I notice that this is a DCF analysis. Now, did 16 you prepare an actual spreadsheet or similar
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.  Q. I imagine that in producing the 2014 report you compiled significant amounts of data, market studies, et cetera. Do you maintain that information, those documents, in a file that you can reproduce in order to support the conclusions in your 2014 and 2015 reports?  A. (Hahn) Well, I believe we would have some data. Again, I'm not sure that we still have information we may have gotten from the Company. That would be something we need to	2 A. (Hahn) Not today. 3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be 4 able to tell me today. 5 A. (Hahn) That's correct. 6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able 7 to look at and produce your file, would that 8 inform you? 9 A. (Hahn) It might. Whatever is there will be 10 there. 11 Q. Did you review or consult any sales for your 12 2015 update that was not used in your 2014 13 report? 14 A. (Hahn) No. 15 Q. I notice that this is a DCF analysis. Now, did 16 you prepare an actual spreadsheet or similar 17 document that shows the entirety of your
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.  Q. I imagine that in producing the 2014 report you compiled significant amounts of data, market studies, et cetera. Do you maintain that information, those documents, in a file that you can reproduce in order to support the conclusions in your 2014 and 2015 reports?  A. (Hahn) Well, I believe we would have some data.  Again, I'm not sure that we still have information we may have gotten from the	2 A. (Hahn) Not today. 3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be 4 able to tell me today. 5 A. (Hahn) That's correct. 6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able 7 to look at and produce your file, would that 8 inform you? 9 A. (Hahn) It might. Whatever is there will be 10 there. 11 Q. Did you review or consult any sales for your 12 2015 update that was not used in your 2014 13 report? 14 A. (Hahn) No. 15 Q. I notice that this is a DCF analysis. Now, did 16 you prepare an actual spreadsheet or similar 17 document that shows the entirety of your 18 discounted cash flow?
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.  Q. I imagine that in producing the 2014 report you compiled significant amounts of data, market studies, et cetera. Do you maintain that information, those documents, in a file that you can reproduce in order to support the conclusions in your 2014 and 2015 reports?  A. (Hahn) Well, I believe we would have some data. Again, I'm not sure that we still have information we may have gotten from the Company. That would be something we need to check. But yes, we would have documents of that type.	2 A. (Hahn) Not today. 3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be 4 able to tell me today. 5 A. (Hahn) That's correct. 6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able 7 to look at and produce your file, would that 8 inform you? 9 A. (Hahn) It might. Whatever is there will be 10 there. 11 Q. Did you review or consult any sales for your 12 2015 update that was not used in your 2014 13 report? 14 A. (Hahn) No. 15 Q. I notice that this is a DCF analysis. Now, did 16 you prepare an actual spreadsheet or similar 17 document that shows the entirety of your 18 discounted cash flow? 19 A. (Hahn) Yes.
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.  Q. I imagine that in producing the 2014 report you compiled significant amounts of data, market studies, et cetera. Do you maintain that information, those documents, in a file that you can reproduce in order to support the conclusions in your 2014 and 2015 reports?  A. (Hahn) Well, I believe we would have some data. Again, I'm not sure that we still have information we may have gotten from the Company. That would be something we need to check. But yes, we would have documents of that type.  O. If requested, and if a party was willing to	2 A. (Hahn) Not today. 3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be 4 able to tell me today. 5 A. (Hahn) That's correct. 6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able 7 to look at and produce your file, would that 8 inform you? 9 A. (Hahn) It might. Whatever is there will be 10 there. 11 Q. Did you review or consult any sales for your 12 2015 update that was not used in your 2014 13 report? 14 A. (Hahn) No. 15 Q. I notice that this is a DCF analysis. Now, did 16 you prepare an actual spreadsheet or similar 17 document that shows the entirety of your 18 discounted cash flow? 19 A. (Hahn) Yes. 20 Q. Is that something that can be produced, in your
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.  Q. I imagine that in producing the 2014 report you compiled significant amounts of data, market studies, et cetera. Do you maintain that information, those documents, in a file that you can reproduce in order to support the conclusions in your 2014 and 2015 reports?  A. (Hahn) Well, I believe we would have some data. Again, I'm not sure that we still have information we may have gotten from the Company. That would be something we need to check. But yes, we would have documents of that type.  Q. If requested, and if a party was willing to sign a confidentiality agreement, would you	2 A. (Hahn) Not today. 3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be 4 able to tell me today. 5 A. (Hahn) That's correct. 6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able 7 to look at and produce your file, would that 8 inform you? 9 A. (Hahn) It might. Whatever is there will be 10 there. 11 Q. Did you review or consult any sales for your 12 2015 update that was not used in your 2014 13 report? 14 A. (Hahn) No. 15 Q. I notice that this is a DCF analysis. Now, did 16 you prepare an actual spreadsheet or similar 17 document that shows the entirety of your 18 discounted cash flow? 19 A. (Hahn) Yes. 20 Q. Is that something that can be produced, in your 21 possession?
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.  Q. I imagine that in producing the 2014 report you compiled significant amounts of data, market studies, et cetera. Do you maintain that information, those documents, in a file that you can reproduce in order to support the conclusions in your 2014 and 2015 reports?  A. (Hahn) Well, I believe we would have some data. Again, I'm not sure that we still have information we may have gotten from the Company. That would be something we need to check. But yes, we would have documents of that type.  Q. If requested, and if a party was willing to sign a confidentiality agreement, would you produce that to me?	2 A. (Hahn) Not today. 3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be 4 able to tell me today. 5 A. (Hahn) That's correct. 6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able 7 to look at and produce your file, would that 8 inform you? 9 A. (Hahn) It might. Whatever is there will be 10 there. 11 Q. Did you review or consult any sales for your 12 2015 update that was not used in your 2014 13 report? 14 A. (Hahn) No. 15 Q. I notice that this is a DCF analysis. Now, did 16 you prepare an actual spreadsheet or similar 17 document that shows the entirety of your 18 discounted cash flow? 19 A. (Hahn) Yes. 20 Q. Is that something that can be produced, in your 21 possession? 22 A. (Hahn) Well, I think that's a question for Mr.
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.  Q. I imagine that in producing the 2014 report you compiled significant amounts of data, market studies, et cetera. Do you maintain that information, those documents, in a file that you can reproduce in order to support the conclusions in your 2014 and 2015 reports?  A. (Hahn) Well, I believe we would have some data. Again, I'm not sure that we still have information we may have gotten from the Company. That would be something we need to check. But yes, we would have documents of that type.  Q. If requested, and if a party was willing to sign a confidentiality agreement, would you produce that to me?  MR. SPEIDEL: Again, I would	2 A. (Hahn) Not today. 3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be 4 able to tell me today. 5 A. (Hahn) That's correct. 6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able 7 to look at and produce your file, would that 8 inform you? 9 A. (Hahn) It might. Whatever is there will be 10 there. 11 Q. Did you review or consult any sales for your 12 2015 update that was not used in your 2014 13 report? 14 A. (Hahn) No. 15 Q. I notice that this is a DCF analysis. Now, did 16 you prepare an actual spreadsheet or similar 17 document that shows the entirety of your 18 discounted cash flow? 19 A. (Hahn) Yes. 20 Q. Is that something that can be produced, in your 21 possession? 22 A. (Hahn) Well, I think that's a question for Mr. 23 Speidel. We have it.
such as good will?  A. (Hahn) We were valuing the assets. There would be no good will.  Q. Okay. Do you maintain a work file for the asset valuations?  A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question.  Q. I imagine that in producing the 2014 report you compiled significant amounts of data, market studies, et cetera. Do you maintain that information, those documents, in a file that you can reproduce in order to support the conclusions in your 2014 and 2015 reports?  A. (Hahn) Well, I believe we would have some data. Again, I'm not sure that we still have information we may have gotten from the Company. That would be something we need to check. But yes, we would have documents of that type.  Q. If requested, and if a party was willing to sign a confidentiality agreement, would you produce that to me?	2 A. (Hahn) Not today. 3 Q. In terms of geographic scope, you wouldn't be 4 able to tell me today. 5 A. (Hahn) That's correct. 6 Q. In your files, do you have if you were able 7 to look at and produce your file, would that 8 inform you? 9 A. (Hahn) It might. Whatever is there will be 10 there. 11 Q. Did you review or consult any sales for your 12 2015 update that was not used in your 2014 13 report? 14 A. (Hahn) No. 15 Q. I notice that this is a DCF analysis. Now, did 16 you prepare an actual spreadsheet or similar 17 document that shows the entirety of your 18 discounted cash flow? 19 A. (Hahn) Yes. 20 Q. Is that something that can be produced, in your 21 possession? 22 A. (Hahn) Well, I think that's a question for Mr.

[5]	Richard Hahn and Daniel Koehler DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PS	La Capra Associates - October 26, 2015 I's Generation Assets - Technical Session Deposition	
ΙίΛΛ	ITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER	WITNESS PANEL BICHARD HALD	1
1	interject that such document production would		
2	be subject to Non-Advocate Staff's	support your revised forecast for the price	se of
3	authorization. Insofar as the witnesses	2 power in your 2015 undate?	
4	present here today did not submit testimony on	3 A. (Hahn) We have the updated canacity pr	ice
5	behalf of Non-Advocate Staff in this docket,	101ccast and the updated natural gas pric	P
6	therefore the usual rules of discovery do not	orecast.	
7	apply as it relates to such documentation.	6 Q. And from what is that natural gas forecast	at
8	However, we will consider such request for	/ ucrived?	
9	documentation on a case-by-case basis. But we	8 A. (Hahn) Well, the methodology is the same	10 20
10	will oppose any attempt to mandate it against	what is described in our 2014 report. It's	ic as
11	our will.	Just the information available to us was	
12		available in July and August of 2015 inst	ead of
13	MR. MAHER: I'm just trying to make sure that the record reflects the City of	the first half of or the first few months of	,
14	Berlin's position that we are requesting these	2014. So the methodology that's describe	ad ia
15	documents and Non-Advocate Staff's position a	interest intelligence and	41_
16	to those requests.	price outlooks and actual deals we might	have
17	MR. SPEIDEL: Well, we would	100ked at were different.	
18	have to see such requests in writing, I	17 Q. I notice in the 2014 report that it says you	
19	believe.	10 relied upon AURORA as part of your fore	agget
20	MR. MAHER: I could resubmit our	out then you produced the I believe it's	
21	request for documentation that was tendered to	Confidential Exhibit 3 market analysis	05
22	Non-Advocate Staff, I believe it was on	and I'm not sure exactly how it's bee	322
23	October 16. I have a copy. I can resubmit it,	labeled. What was done to the AURORA for	recast
24	and I would like it to be made an exhibit to	In order to come up with your reference	
	of made an exhibit to	24 scenario?	
(TIW	IESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER≱ge 118	DANTALESS DANIEL	_
1	this deposition.	WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER	ige 120
2		1 A. (Hahn) In the 2015 update?	
3	MR. SPEIDEL: I believe the	2 Q. I'll start with 2014.	
4	Commission denied that request for relief. It	3 A. (Hahn) Okay. AURORA is a model that sim	vulatas
5	was embedded within a motion to the Commission, as I recall. It wasn't	the dispatch of an electric system. The mo	del
6	MR. MAHER: That is correct.	unat we used can be used to dispatch the en	tira
7	MR SPEIDEL The correct.	grid in the entire electric United States W	·
8	MR. SPEIDEL: The same documents are being requested; is that correct?	used it locusing on New England in an ana	lysis
9	MR. MAHER: Essentially, yes.	inc mis. And if you understand the ISO	[
0	Yes, with the exception of the unredacted copy	energy market, bids are offered, load is the	re
1	of the 2014 report.	you choose the best bids, least cost hide to	
2	MR. SPEIDEL: Which you have	serve the load. AURORA does the same the	ing
3	now.	with similar inputs. It's an hourly dispatch	-
4	MR. MAHER: Correct.	inodel. And so that gave us the hourly I MI	Ps in
5	MR. SPEIDEL: Well, Non-Advocate	* Me 2014 La Capra study,	1
5	Staff will take that under advisement. Thank	Now, as I said, in 2015, we did not redo.	
7	you.	the dispatch. We kept the output of all the	1
8	MR. MAHER: Thank you.	' units, including the Public Service units, the	,
9	MR SPEIDEL La 4b-4 - 110	same, out adjusted energy market revenues	for
)	MR MAHED No There 411	There is an	
L	quite a bit	extremely high correlation between natural.	

quite a bit.

BY MR. MAHER:

21

22

21

22

24

23 Q.

MR. SPEIDEL: Go on. Sorry.

24 Q. What source documentation do you have to

extremely high correlation between natural gas

When you look at natural gas prices, is that at

prices and electric prices. So we felt very

Henry Hub, or is that Algonquin Citygate?

comfortable doing that.

#### DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSNH's Generation Assets - Technical Session Deposition [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER 121 | [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER 123 I'm just trying to go through and make this as 1 A. (Hahn) Algonquin -- well, we look at both. But streamlined as possible. Let me back up. of primary importance here is the generally 2 2 Did you use a particular definition of accepted New England hub for natural gas 3 3 "fair market value"? delivered to New England, which is the 4 5 A. (Hahn) We focused on the value that was Algonquin Citygate price. There are price 5 generated by a discounted cash flow. As I differences between there and other points in 6 6 said, we looked at the market comps. But New England. They tend to be small. So there 7 7 because of the relative -- what's the word I'm 8 is a -- the focus of the natural gas price 8 looking for -- unavailability of comparable 9 forecast, if you will, is the Algonquin 9 sales, we focused heavily on the discounted 10 Citygate price. 10 cash flow. To the extent you deem a discounted Did you adjust for -- did you adjust any of the 11 11 O. cash flow to give you a fair market value, then AURORA forecasts in coming up with your 12 12 we did. reference scenario? Did you add any inputs, 13 13 Well, did you consider a hypothetical buyer any additional considerations or assumptions 14 Q. 14 when you were generating your 2014 report? Was that would not normally be included in AURORA's 15 15 there a specific type of buyer in mind? 16 projection? 16 (Hahn) We did not identify a specific buyer, 17 A. (Hahn) In the 2015 update? 17 A. such as a merchant generating company or a 18 2014. Excuse me. 18 Q. hedge fund or something like that because we (Hahn) We began with our sort of reference case 19 19 A. believe that they would come up with a similar model which we use for lots of different work 20 20 discounted cash flow analysis that we did, and in New England. We did not change that 21 21 that that would be the basis of the bid. So, 22 specifically for this project. 22 no, we did not assume a particular type of 23 23 Q. Okay. buyer. 24 A. (Koehler) If I can just clarify that? We did 24 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER\* ge 12 IWITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER ge 122 1 Q. But I note at the end of your 2014 report that make some -- in the 2014 report, we did make 1 you state something to the effect that the some changes based on confidential information 2 2 bundling of the hydro assets, or some of the from PSNH on operating characteristics and the 3 3

- like, but relatively minor details. So, as a 4
- whole, the forecast was similar to our base 5 case. 6
- 7 A. (Hahn) But we didn't add additional generating
- units or retire generating units. They were 8
- the same.
- Okay. I wasn't sure. Did you include any 10 Q.
- market conditions into that forecast that 11
- otherwise does not exist at the present? 12
- (Hahn) I'm not sure what you mean by that 13 A. question, sir. 14
- Well, I've heard reference that you considered 15 Q. the existence of future carbon legislation. 16
- Did you consider any other factors that could 17
- have impacted the market? 18
- 19 A. (Hahn) Well, I testified earlier that we did
- assume compliance with renewable portfolio 20 standards. That's a standard assumption in our
- 21 modeling. Can't think of any others, but... so 22
- I hope there aren't any. 23
- 24 Q. And I note with O&M specifically -- excuse me.

- hydro assets, might yield the biggest price 4
- rather than selling them off 5
- station-by-station. Does that envision a 6
  - specific type of buyer in that scenario?
- (Hahn) I don't think it does. I mean, again, 8
- those units had I think the highest or second 9 highest positive discounted cash flow results. 10
- So, no, I don't think it does assume a 11
- particular type of buyer. 12
- Did you look at any projections with regard to 13 Q.
- power generation that would indicate either a 14
- future increase or decrease of energy as a 15
- result of consumption trends or increased 16
- industrial activity? 17
- (Hahn) We did not consider sensitivities, a 18 A.
- higher or a lower load forecast, if that's what 19
- you're asking about. No, we didn't. We did 20 focus on higher and lower natural gas prices. 21
- We did look at a Forward Capacity Market 22
- scenario that had a high retirement scenario. 23
- But sensitivity to load forecast was not among 24

# Richard Hahn and Daniel Koehler - La Capra Associates - October 26, 2015

#### DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSNH's Generation Assets - Technical Session Deposition [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER ge 125 | [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER ge 127 them. 1 A. (Koehler) I'm not sure I understand the 2 Q. So am I to understand that, in order to 2 question. determine the amount of power generated, you 3 3 Q. Let me rephrase. When you did your 22-year based that off of historic data, with the 4 average of eight of the nine hydros, did you exception of Smith, in which you -- I believe 5 weight certain years heavier than others? 5 you said that you relied upon the certified 6 (Koehler) No, other than the extent that 6 A. 7 generation by FERC? they're different numbers. But it's an average 7 8 A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question. of 22 annual output numbers, yes. 8 But Mr. Koehler thinks he does, so --9 Q. When you say "capacity supply obligations," is 9 (Koehler) I think I understand the confusion 10 A. that synonymous with "qualified capacity"? 10 here. For the most part, AURORA dispatches 11 (Hahn) Well, you have to qualify for the 11 A. units, as Dick described it. So, to the extent 12 auction, and then if you're a successful bidder 12 there's any projections of PSNH unit output in 13 in the forward capacity auction, you receive a 13 the future, it's taken from the dispatch that 14 capacity supply obligation. So I think you 14 AURORA has generated, you know, which is the 15 could qualify for the auction, not be a 15 hour-by-hour decisions. And we just take that 16 successful bidder, and you wouldn't get a 16 17 output in the model. 17 supply obligation. Hydro units in AURORA are treated zonally. 18 18 Q. I'm just trying to understand your report. You So, inputs for how they operate are taken at 19 have the forward capacity auction. From that 19 the aggregate level for a zone. So, all New 20 you generate a capacity price forecast. And to 20 Hampshire hydro, the units are all in there. 21 get revenues from capacity, you have to 21 But in terms of how they operate with pondage, 22 multiply that price by a certain capacity 22 with their ability to capture peak, that's all 23 amount. Where does that capacity amount come 23 taken at the aggregate New Hampshire zone 24 24 from? [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER gg 126 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLERRige 128 level. So, to try to pull dispatch of 1 (Hahn) That would come from the -- well, first individual units out of AURORA we don't think 2 of all, there's a different amount for each 2 is the appropriate way to do it. So, as 3 3 station or each plant. post-processing matter for the hydro units, we 4 4 Q. Understood. did an independent assessment of what their 5 5 A. (Hahn) We assume that all of the plants, output would be, and we attempted to estimate 6 including the PPAs, got capacity revenues. The 6 the long-term average production for them. 7 7

- 8 Q. And was that based on -- and I'm looking at
- Page 25, second sentence -- "Instead, long-term 9
- average production was estimated for eight of 10
- the nine hydro units using an average of 11
- historical production from the nearly 22-year 12
- 13
- period of 1992 to October 2013"? So, am I to 14
- understand that it was based solely off 15
- historic averages?
- (Koehler) For the units that did not have a 16 A.
- major change in their production over that time 17
- period. And that's the reason why Smith was 18
- done by a different methodology, because 19
- Smith's output changed over that historic 20
- record, so we didn't feel like that was the 21
- appropriate way for Smith. 22
- Was that a weighed average, or was that a 23 Q.
- 24 straight average?

- precise value --
- MR. HAHN: Did we get that from
- the FCA?
- 10 A. (Koehler) Yes, and it's explained. The exact
- procedure is explained in the report. It's 11
- a -- we looked at their existing obligations. 12 13
- And certainly for years that are covered by 14
- existing FCAs, we used those numbers. In terms
- of projecting forward -- it's explained on Page 15 16
- 29 to 30 ---
- 17 Q. Yeah.

8

- 18 A. -- (Koehler) in the 2014 La Capra report.
- 19 Q. And I'm looking at the second sentence in 20
  - Section 5.3 which says, "Each facility has
- qualified for capacity credit in all of the 21
- Forward Capacity Market auctions conducted to 22 23 date."
- 24 Does that phrase mean -- I heard that this

Richard Hahn and Daniel Koehler - La DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSNH's	THE MINI ASSETS - I COMMON POSSESS - I
[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER ge 129	WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER ge 131
	to be capitalized; therefore, they're treated
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	as plant in service after the year they're
2 that what is meant by that sentence? 3 (Witness reviews document.)	made. So in the we assumed a constant
(Witness reviews document.)	debt/equity structure for the buyer. So there
4 A. (Hahn) Where is the term "qualified capacity"	would be some of that purchase price that would
5 defined?	be funded by debt, the principal and interest,
6 Q. I'm asking. I have heard that as a term of art	which would reduce EBITDA. And the equity
7 in this industry, and I'm asking is that what	8 portion would be included in the equity in the
8 is meant?	cash flow-to-cash flow analysis.
9 A. (Hahn) The answer is I don't know. I mean, I	10 Q. So the portion that is reduced from the cash
think the definition, if it is the ISO	11 flow analysis, that does that represent
definition of "qualified capacity," will be in	specific capital expenditures that are going to
the market rules for the forward capacity	be made at each station? End of question.
auctions. But as I sit here today, I don't	14 A. (Hahn) Yes.
14 know.	15 Q. Do those capital expenditures envision repairs
15 Q. On Page 43, in the paragraph before	that are necessary to obtain the FERC
Section 6.3, you state, "We allocated the total	relicensure at the end of the DCF?
hydro budget proportional (less FERC	18 A. (Hahn) I'm not sure I understand the question,
re-licensing costs) to each unit's capacity	1 CA DOE!
19 supply obligation."	D CD 1 C - 1
How is that allocation done? On what	10
21 basis?	
22 A. (Koehler) I believe you just stated it. It's	DOE I believe
by the capacity supply obligation.	a a til 1 ille see that as an axample e if
24 Q. So is that the same as the is that	for Smith let's use that as an example it
[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER ge 130	[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER]ge 13.
	goes until, I believe, for 39 years, correct,
1 "qualified capacity," is that the amount of	per the 2015 update?
capacity that they enter into the	3 A. (Hahn) That number is a matter of record
3 A. (Koehler) It's by our projection of their	somewhere. I don't recall it.
capacity supply obligation, which would be the	5 Q. Okay. In year 38 or 39, do the capital
5 capacity that has qualified and cleared in a	6 expenditures at Smith, in DCF, envision repairs
6 forward capacity auction.	7 necessary to obtain a FERC relicensure?
7 Q. Do capital expenditures that are reduced from	8 A. (Koehler) No, they do not. No. The assumption
8 each year's cash flows include repairs	
necessary to obtain a upcoming FERC license	the year of the FERC relicensing under the
to satisfy the FERC relicensing procedure?	assumption that, assuming relicensing an
11 A. (Koehler) Could you clarify which which	additional 30 years of pro forma life would
stream you're talking about?	introduce all of these additional questions.
Q. Well, keep in mind I don't actually have the	
DCF. That hasn't been provided to me. So I'm	estimate 30 years of revenue starting in 2039,
assuming that when you make a capital	say, or whatever year it is. And so we decided
expenditure reduction on a yearly basis, which	111 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
I believe you based on information provided by	not place any value, positive or negative, on
18 PSNH	that uncertainty at the end of the life. So

19 A. (Hahn) Wait a minute. You said "capital

21 Q. Yeah. I imagine you are -- are you reducing

each year's cash flow in your DCF?

cash flows by a capital expenditure amount for

expenditure reduction"?

that uncertainty at the end of the life. So

there's no cost assumed for -- in most of these

coming up in the near term, we did assume that.

But then, for the out beyond, I think 30 years,

unit cases, it would be a second relicensing,

because for the ones that have relicensing

20

22

23

19

20

21

22

23

DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSNH's Generation Assets - Technical Session Deposition [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER∳ge 133 | [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHL⊞R∳ge 135 we elected to not include any costs, nor any purchase price by another entity? post-relicensing revenues for those units. 2 2 A. (Hahn) It was an alternative scenario we looked 3 Q. Is there a reversionary value at the end of at, just as we looked at high gas prices. Our 3 4 DCF? view was that the Company has operated these 4 5 A. (Hahn) No, there's not. plants for 30, 40 years. They would be a good 5 MR. SPEIDEL: Excuse me. Any 6 source of what it would cost to run them in the 6 sense of how many more questions we have from 7 future. Are there alternative estimates of 7 8 Berlin? that? Sure. Could they be higher or lower? 8 9 MR. MAHER: Five minutes. Sure. So we tested sensitivities in the 2014 9 MR. SPEIDEL: Okay. Carry on. 10 study. But again, the reference case assumed 10 BY MR. MAHER: 11 the values that we received from the Company. 12 Q. So am I correct that you assume that there's 11 And last question with regard to the LMM report 12 O. not going to be any more EBITDA at the end 13 that's referenced in here. I take it that's a 13 of -- using Smith again with the 39-year life 14 document within your possession? 14 -- year 40, there's a zero EBITDA under your 15 (Hahn) The LMM or the NMM? 15 A. model? Is that what's assumed? 16 16 Q. NMM. 17 A. (Hahn) Yes. 17 A. (Hahn) Yes, sir, that's a document --18 Q. And I note on Page 65 you make reference to 18 Q. That's a document in your possession. And is "book life." Can you explain briefly how "book 19 that a document that you will produce subject 19 life" factors into your DCF? 20 to signing of the confidentiality agreement? 20 (Hahn) Sure. Most assets have an asset life 21 A. 21 MR. SPEIDEL: Again, that would over which their investments are depreciated 22 be subject to the authorization of Non-Advocate 22 for accounting purposes. That's referred to as 23 23 Staff. a "book life." There is also something called 24 24 MR. MAHER: Understood. [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER 134 [WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLEFFIGE 136 a "tax life," which can be different from the 1 1 MR. SPEIDEL: And the La Capra book life. Usually is. And it represents the 2 Associates entity, our consultants, have 2 period of time over which a particular 3 plainly indicated that they believe that to be 3 investment can be depreciated or amortized for 4 confidential in toto. And there may have to be 4 5 tax purposes. ongoing discussions as to whether a 5 So in the model, book depreciation shows 6 non-disclosure agreement with the City of 6 up as an item in the financial pro forma, just 7 Berlin would provide adequate protection for La 7 as it would for any other company or asset. 8 Capra Associates in this specific instance. 8 9 Q. And at Page 63 you state, "Applying the 9 MR. MAHER: City of Berlin respective median values to the PSNH units, 10 anticipates that those discussions will be had 10 escalating 1 percent in addition to inflation, 11 11 in the future? adding 15 percent for overhead yields a 12 MR. SPEIDEL: Yes. I think 12 forecast of O&M expense well below the 13 perhaps a bilateral meeting with Non-Advocate 13 14 referenced scenario forecast." Staff at some juncture with your 14 Didn't this analysis give you pause in 15 representatives and our representatives, and I 15 relying upon PSNH's reported O&M expenses for 16 think we could discuss it. But again, it would 16 the purposes of your DCF? 17 be subject to our authorization. 17 (Hahn) It was an alternative scenario. It did 18 A. 18 MR. MAHER: Thank you. That's not give us pause to doubt what they gave us. 19 all the questions I have. That's all the 19 Not with regard to the voracity of it. But if 20 Q.

21

22

23

24

20

21

22

23

24

you are running a market-based O&M sensitivity,

O&M is higher than market, why would you use

PSNH's actual O&M if you were envisioning the

and that sensitivity is revealing that PSNH's

questions I have at this time. We do reserve

request additional documents subject to our

unredacted appraisal. Thank you, gentlemen.

our right to ask additional questions and

review of the recently disclosed 2014

Richard Hahn and Daniel Koehler - La DE 14-238 PSNH: Determination regarding PSNH's	Generation Assets - Technical Bession 2 of
WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER	[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HARN and DANIEL ROLLIELINGS TO
l l	1 CERTIFICATE
1 MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you very	2 I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed
2 much.	3 Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public
Mr. Sheehan, redirect on behalf	4 of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby
of Non-Advocate Staff?	5 certify that the foregoing is a true and
5 MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. We	6 accurate transcript of my stenographic
just had a couple. Just one clarifying point	7 notes of these proceedings taken at the
7 that I wanted to make.	8 place and on the date hereinbefore set
8 EXAMINATION	9 forth, to the best of my skill and ability
9 BY MR. SHEEHAN:	10 under the conditions present at the time.
Q. The Staff report we've been talking about, the	11 I further certify that I am neither
2014 Staff report, you had direct contact with	12 attorney or counsel for, nor related to or
the Staff members who prepared that report; is	13 employed by any of the parties to the
that correct?	14 action; and further, that I am not a
14 A. (Hahn) Yes.	15 relative or employee of any attorney or
15 Q. And they were whom?	16 counsel employed in this case, nor am I
16 A. (Hahn) Steven Mullen, and Tom Frantz.	17 financially interested in this action.
17 Q. Okay.	18
18 A. (Hahn) Mr. Frantz, I think that's how you	
pronounce his name. The two of them and Mr.	Susan J. Robidas, hck/krk
Koehler and I were at that initial meeting on	Registered Professional Reporter 21 N.H. LCR No. 44 (RSA 310-A:173)
the date that we toured the Company's	22
hydroelectric assets. And that's when they asked how would you go about this and we gave	1
asked how would you go about this and wo gave	24
them some guidance and we gave them some	1
[WITNESS PANEL: RICHARD HAHN and DANIEL KOEHLER≱ge 136	8
I Company of the Comp	
numbers. They sent us back a spreadsheet that	
had the estimate of the retail market price for	
default service if you weren't going to go to	
the market, and we said that was okay with us.	
5 Q. And do you know where Mr. Mullen is now?	
6 A. (Hahn) I believe he's left the Commission	
7 Staff.	
8 Q. And you're aware that Mr. Frantz is with the	
settling parties in this particular document?	
10 A. (Hahn) That's what I understand to be the case,	
11 sir.	
12 Q. Thank you.	
MR. SHEEHAN: That's all we	
have. Thank you.	
MR. SPEIDEL: Well, it would	
appear that the line of questioning is	
concluded from the various parties. I thank	
our consultants from La Capra Associates,	
Messrs. Hahn and Koehler, very much for thei	1
20 cooperation and assistance. And I thank you	
all for your attendance and participation.	
Therefore, I ask that we conclude the record	
L Com Alexa muno cooding C   NONE VOII	4

for this proceeding. Thank you.

(Whereupon the proceedings concluded at 12:32 p.m.)

23